

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Phylogenetic analysis of maximal oxygen consumption during exercise ( $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ ) and ecological correlates among lizard species

Ralph L. Albuquerque\* and Theodore Garland Jr

# ABSTRACT

The maximum amount of oxygen consumed during forced exercise  $(V_{O_{2}, \max})$  sets the upper limit to the effort that can be sustained over relatively long periods and can limit activity levels in nature. Among ectotherms,  $V_{O_2, max}$  is primarily affected by body size and body temperature, but it should also co-adapt with behavior, ecology and life history aspects. We compiled published data from 11 different families of lizards, including 58 species and 7 populations (total of 65 data points) and tested whether V<sub>O2,max</sub> was related to diet (herbivore, insectivore, insectivore/carnivore, carnivore and omnivore), climate (tropical, temperate and arid), nocturnality, viviparity or family. We fitted models that included body mass and measurement temperature as covariates, and all possible combinations of other independent variables using ordinary least squares (OLS) and phylogenetic regressions assuming an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model of residual trait evolution (RegOU). The sum of Akaike weights for each independent variable revealed viviparity  $(\Sigma w_i=0.996)$  and the combined set of dummy variables coding for helodermatids, varanids and skinks ( $\Sigma w_i$ =0.996) as the most important predictors. These three families had relatively high  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  and are composed mainly of active foragers that probably benefit from higher  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ . Viviparity had a negative effect on V<sub>O2. max</sub>. Ecological or behavioral factors associated with viviparity (e.g. activity levels), but not included here, may explain this effect. The average allometric slope of V<sub>O2, max</sub> from the top eight models (which accounted for 99% of the cumulative evidence) was 0.803, which is similar to that reported previously for lizards and for mammals in general.

# KEY WORDS: Aerobic capacity, Allometry, Comparative method, Evolutionary physiology, Metabolism, Viviparity

## INTRODUCTION

Maximal aerobic capacity, measured as the maximum rate of oxygen consumption ( $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ ) during forced exercise (Seeherman et al., 1981), sets the upper limit to the rate of work that can be sustained (Jones and Lindstedt, 1993; Levine, 2008; Seeherman et al., 1981; Spurway et al., 2012). In lizards, several studies have shown that animals exercised below the maximal aerobic speed can sustain activity for long periods (e.g. 30 min or more), whereas activity above this speed causes a rapid decline in time to exhaustion (Bennett and John-Alder, 1984; Huey et al., 1984; John-Alder and Bennett, 1981; John-Alder et al., 1983, 1986; see also Beck et al.,

Department of Evolution, Ecology, and Organismal Biology, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, USA.

\*Author for correspondence (ralphla@gmail.com)

D R.L.A., 0000-0002-7916-3552; T.G., 0000-0002-7916-3552

1995; Perry et al., 2004). As  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  directly affects the maximum sustainable speed and hence endurance capacity in relation to running speed, it has the potential to limit activity levels during ecologically relevant tasks, such as patrolling a territory, fighting, courting, foraging or escaping from predators (Biro et al., 2018; Garland, 1993; Husak and Fox, 2008; Sinervo et al., 2000). However, relatively few studies have tested for evolutionary coadaptation between  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  and aspects of behavior, ecology or life history that intuitively might depend on high aerobic capacity (Albuquerque et al., 2015; Autumn et al., 1999; Bennett et al., 1984; Boratyński, 2020; Clemente et al., 2009; Killen et al., 2016).

Bennett et al. (1984) found that the widely foraging Eremias *lugubris* has higher  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  and activity levels in the wild, as compared with the closely related Eremias lineoocellata, but twospecies comparisons cannot provide strong evidence regarding adaptation or co-adaptation (Garland and Adolph, 1994). Autumn et al. (1999) compared eight species of nocturnal geckos with 24 species of diurnal lizards. Nocturnal geckos are active at lower body temperatures, and when measured at their field-relevant body temperatures, they had a lower  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  than diurnal lizard species. However, assuming a  $Q_{10}$  of 2.5 (from previous studies of lizards in general; Bennett, 1982; Bennett, 1983), correcting to the same body temperature yielded similar values for nocturnal and diurnal species. Finally, in a study of 18 varanid species, Clemente et al. (2009) showed that  $V_{O_2, \text{max}}$  was positively correlated with endurance, measured as maximum distance and time running when chased around a circular racetrack until exhaustion. Endurance was higher in widely foraging species and in species from xeric as compared with tropical areas, but these comparisons were not reported for  $V_{O_2, \max}$ .

Variation in  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  has also been studied in other taxa. Among mammals, Albuquerque et al. (2015) found that  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  was marginally positively correlated with home range area. All else being equal, larger home ranges should be associated with higher activity levels. However, the correlation between home range and  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  was weak (*r*=0.278) and statistically non-significant in phylogenetically based analyses. The authors argue that measurement error (see Ives et al., 2007), including a mismatch between the populations for which home range and  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  were measured, and the fact that activity levels should be higher inside the defended portion of the home range (territory), might account for the weak correlation between home range and  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ . Killen et al. (2016) showed that size-corrected  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  is higher for pelagic teleost fishes and for species from higher trophic levels.

In the present study, we tested whether  $V_{O_2, \text{max}}$  in lizards is associated with diet (herbivore, insectivore, insectivore/carnivore, carnivore and omnivore; which presumably relates to foraging mode), climate (tropical, temperate and arid), nocturnality and viviparity, while controlling for the effect of body mass and temperature using conventional ordinary least squares (OLS) and phylogenetic regressions to account for species relatedness. We included viviparity because retaining embryos for longer gestation periods should have important impacts on activity levels and perhaps the intensity of effort during daily tasks. Pregnancy can reduce locomotor capacity in lizards not only through the effects of added body mass but also through unidentified changes in physiology (Garland and Else, 1987; Olsson et al., 2000; Zani et al., 2008). Therefore, all else being equal (e.g. in the absence of compensatory behavior: Bauwens and Thoen, 1981; Brodie, 1989), a higher  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  may be required to maintain similar activity levels in viviparous versus oviparous species. Finally, we also present allometric equations for  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ .

# MATERIALS AND METHODS Data collection

We obtained  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  data from scientific publications. We started with the dataset provided by Garland and Albuquerque (2017) and added data for species outside of the 35–40°C range used by them. We searched for 'lizard  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ ', 'lizard aerobic capacity' and 'lizard maximal metabolic rate' in Google Scholar and Web of Science. We considered only studies that measured oxygen consumption while gradually increasing speed on a treadmill. When the studies reported mass-specific  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ , we multiplied the reported mass-specific  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  by the average body mass.

To increase the number of data points available for analysis, we measured  $V_{O_2, max}$  for 18 adult male Sceloporus occidentalis Baird and Girard 1852, from Riverside, CA, USA (California Department of Fish and Wildlife permit SC - 013534). All procedures were approved by the UCR Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. We obtained each lizard's  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  while it was running on a speedcontrolled treadmill (e.g. Garland, 1984; Garland and Else, 1987). Prior to each trial, animals were maintained for at least 2 h in an environmental chamber at 35°C. We placed each lizard at the rear of the treadmill belt between adjustable Plexiglas walls. Lizards wore a light-weight translucent plastic mask through which room air was pulled and conducted along tubes to an oxygen analyzer (S-3A, Applied Electrochemistry, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Flow rate through the mask (500 standard cubic centimeters per minute, controlled by a mass-flow controller) was sufficient to capture all exhaled gas. A set of heat lamps above the treadmill was adjusted such that body temperature remained near 35°C during the trial. We stimulated lizards to run by gentle taps on their tail and hindlimbs as we slowly increased the treadmill speed while monitoring oxygen concentration from the exhaled air. When we observed no further decline in oxygen concentration despite increases in speed for at least 60 s, we stopped the trial.  $V_{O_2, max}$  was taken as the highest 1 min of O<sub>2</sub> consumption during the trial (e.g. Garland, 1984; Garland and Else, 1987). We ran each lizard twice, once on each of two consecutive days, and used the higher trial as the  $V_{O_2, max}$ . A paired *t*-test indicated no significant difference between  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  measured on the two trials (N=18, P=0.26). The two measures were also highly correlated (r=0.82, *P*<0.0001).

A small tube with calcium sulfate, soda lime and calcium sulfate in series removed carbon dioxide and water vapor from the air before it entered the oxygen analyzer. A data acquisition module (ADAM-4019) converted the analog signal from the oxygen analyzer into a digital signal sent to a Macintosh computer. We used LabHelper software (WarthogSystems, www.warthog.ucr. edu) to record and visualize the data in real time. We used Warthog LabAnalyst to calculate oxygen consumption from records of oxygen concentration using the Mask Mode 1 conversion.

We gathered literature data on climate, diet, nocturnality and viviparity for each species for which we had  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  data. Climate

was defined according to the first letter of the Köppen–Geiger classification: tropical (A), temperate (C) and arid (B). We used a Google Earth layer based on the updated Köppen–Geiger climate classification provided by Peel et al. (2007) to determine the climate category for the locality where each species was collected. If a species was collected in multiple localities and separate measures of  $V_{O_2, max}$  were not reported, we used the climate category that encompassed the majority of collection sites for the individuals collected (that was the case only for *Varanus gouldii*). When captive species were used or when collection sites were not described in the original papers, we used the climate category that encompassed most of the distribution of the species as reported on the IUCN website (https://www.iucnredlist.org/).

Categorizing an animal's diet can be complicated (Peters, 1977). We classified species' diet as herbivore, insectivore, insectivore/ carnivore, carnivore or omnivore following the 90% rule (Harestad and Bunnell, 1979; Perry and Garland, 2002; Peters, 1977) when studies that reported stomach contents in detail were available. For those studies, if under 10% of the volume of stomach contents reported was composed of plant material, then we considered the lizard to be primarily insectivorous. If more than 10% of the stomach contents volume was composed of plant material, the species was considered omnivorous. Stomach contents of exclusively carnivorous and herbivorous species might not be as well preserved as the contents of species that eat mostly arthropods, so we also considered descriptions in general ecology papers or field guides, which usually involve direct observations in the wild. Finally, for one species, Teratoscincus przewalskii, none of the sources of information described above were available, so we classified the species as insectivorous based on descriptions of what caretakers fed the animals in captivity, as taken from descriptions on pet websites or forums (e.g. Good Life Herps, http://goodlifeherps. weebly.com). We classified species as viviparous or oviparous and as diurnal or nocturnal according to the information provided in the Reptile Database (http://www.reptile-database.org, accessed in 2019) and Animal Diversity (https://animaldiversity.org/, accessed in 2019), or from data available in Mesquita et al. (2015). The final dataset used for analysis is available from figshare (https://doi.org/ 10.6084/m9.figshare.13150487.v2).

# Phylogenetic tree construction

We used the time-calibrated phylogeny provided as online supplemental material in Tonini et al. (2016) and removed species not present in our study. We then added seven new branches to represent the two populations that we had for *Sceloporus occidentalis*, *Tiliqua rugosa*, *Varanus gilleni*, *Varanus gouldii*, *Varanus panoptes*, *Varanus rosenbergi* and *Varanus tristis* for which we found  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  data. We arbitrarily set the branch length between the two populations of these seven species as equal to half the shortest branch length between any two species in our tree. The shortest bifurcation in the phylogeny for our species was between *Varanus panoptes* and *Varanus gouldii* (5.47 million years), so we set the seven bifurcations to 2.74 million years (Fig. 1). This tree is available from figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. 13150487.v2).

# **Statistical analysis**

We computed multiple regressions in two ways (reviewed in Garland et al., 2005; Lavin et al., 2008) using the Matlab REGRESSIONv2.m program (Lavin et al., 2008). First, we used conventional, non-phylogenetic, OLS and then regressions in which the residuals are modeled as having evolved via an



**Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree including 58 species of lizards of 11 different taxa for which**  $V_{O_2, max}$  **data are available.** The taxa, here referred to as 'families' (see Materials and Methods), are shown in different colors. For seven of those species, we obtained  $V_{O_2, max}$  data for populations in two different localities (indicated by a '2' after the species name), so the tree has 65 tips. Time-calibrated branch lengths were calculated using all available DNA data for squamates and included 7 mitochondrial and 10 nuclear genes from a fully sampled Squamata tree (Tonini et al., 2016) before we removed the species not included here. Viviparous species are indicated by '(V)' and the *x*-axis is in millions of years.

Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process (RegOU), which is intended to mimic stabilizing selection on the specified phylogenetic tree. The OLS models assume a 'star phylogeny' with no hierarchical structure, whereas the RegOU models alter the branch lengths of the tree to increase or decrease its hierarchy (respectively pulling nodes towards the tips of the tree or closer to the root). As compared with an OLS regression, the RegOU regression model contains one additional parameter, *d*, that estimates the transformation of the phylogenetic tree (Blomberg et al., 2003; Lavin et al., 2008) to better fit the residuals obtained with a given set of independent variables. A *d*-value greater than 1 means that the analysis altered

the tree to be more hierarchical; conversely, values less than 1 mean that the nodes were pulled closer to the root, as compared with the original tree. The minimum value for this parameter is zero, which would indicate that the tree was altered to a star phylogeny.

Our dataset included  $V_{O_2, max}$  for 58 species distributed among 11 currently recognized monophyletic taxa (all recognized as taxonomic families, except for Gekkota, which is an infraorder) and the number of species per taxon ranged from one (Crotaphytidae) to 24 (Varanidae). These 11 taxa (henceforth referred to as 'families' for simplicity) correspond to major branches in our phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1). Several previous studies have

suggested that some of these families have relatively high  $V_{O_2, max}$ and/or endurance capacity (Beck et al., 1995; Clemente et al., 2009; see Garland, 1994). Therefore, we initially tested for differences among the 11 families (Foster et al., 2018; see Gartner et al., 2010). Preliminary analysis revealed that most of the effect of family was caused by Helodermatidae, Varanidae and Scincidae, all with relatively high  $V_{O_2, max}$ . In addition, models with the 11-category family variable had relatively high AICc (Akaike information criterion corrected for sample size, see below), suggesting that this variable was too fine grained. We therefore decided to instead use a set of three dummy variables coding for helodermatids, varanids and skinks as compared with all other lizards. Only models that included (or excluded) all three of these dummy variables were considered. We made the *a priori* decision to include log<sub>10</sub> body mass and body temperature during  $V_{O_2, max}$  trials in all models, because these are known to be highly influential predictors of  $V_{O_2, max}$  (see Introduction, and also Garland, 1994, regarding endurance capacity).

We fitted a total of 48 multiple regression models, including all possible combinations of the independent variables climate (3 categories), diet (5 categories), nocturnality, viviparity, and either family (11 categories) or the set of three dummy variables for putatively aerobic taxa (helodermatids, varanids and skinks). Note that models never included both family and the 3-dummy set. All 48 models were fitted by both OLS and RegOU procedures, yielding a total of 96 regression models.

For each model, we report the partial regression coefficient and *P*-value for each independent variable, the *d* parameter (see above), the ln maximum likelihood (lnML) for the model, and the AICc  $\{AICc=(-2 \times lnML)+[2 \times p \times 2/(n-p-1)]\}$ , where *p* is the number of parameters and *n* is the sample size (lower AICc values indicate better model fit to the data) (Burnham and Anderson, 2002).

To assess the importance of each independent variable, we used the following approach, consistent with a previous analysis of mammalian  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  (Dlugosz et al., 2013). First, we calculated the Akaike weight  $(w_i)$  for each model, which is the probability that model *i* would be the best-fitting model, if the data were collected again under identical circumstances. The sum of  $w_i$  for all 96 models is 1. Then, for each independent variable, we calculated the sum of Akaike weights  $(\Sigma w_i)$  for all the models that included that variable. If an independent variable is mostly present in the best-fitting models (the ones with lowest AICc), it would have a high  $w_i$  and would therefore be a relatively important variable for model fit. We calculated a weighted average of the partial regression slope for body mass and the standard error (s.e.) of the coefficient using the relative importance (measured as the Akaike weight) of each regression model as the weighting factor (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). This weighted averaging procedure allowed us to obtain the average allometric scaling exponent of  $V_{O_2, max}$  across all the models within 10 AICc from the best (these top eight models accounted for 99% of the cumulative  $w_i$ ), while accounting for the proportional contribution of each model based on their AICc values. We used the average standard error of the body mass partial regression coefficient to calculate the confidence intervals of the allometric slope of  $V_{O_2, \text{max}}$ . The average s.e. was calculated from models that ranged in degrees of freedom (d.f.) from 55 to 58 (some models included more variables). Therefore, we considered 55 d.f. to calculate the boundaries of the 95% confidence interval of the partial regression coefficient for body mass.

## RESULTS

Preliminary analyses tested for violation of statistical assumptions, including the possibility that  $\log_{10} \dot{V}_{O_2, \text{max}}$  might be related to  $\log_{10}$ 

body mass or to temperature in a non-linear fashion (Bueno and López-Urrutia, 2014; see Garland, 1994; Kolokotrones et al., 2010; Verberk et al., 2020). Inspection of Fig. 2 suggests a possible non-linear relationship with  $log_{10}$  body mass; however, this visual impression is driven mainly by the fact that many of the small lizards were measured at low temperatures (blue dots in Fig. 2A). Plots of residuals from the OLS models listed in Table 1 indicated no major outliers and no clear evidence of non-linearities. As a formal test for non-linearities, we added *z*-transformed  $log_{10}$  body mass squared and *z*-transformed temperature squared (orthogonal polynomials) to the best regression model. Neither of these partial regression coefficients was statistically significant (results not shown).

The highest correlation between independent variables occurred between the dummy variables coding for viviparity and for skinks (r=0.70), which is probably not large enough to be a concern for multicollinearity (Slinker and Glantz, 1985). However, our dataset only included six data points for viviparous species and five data points for skinks, four of which were viviparous.

We obtained eight models within 10 AICc from the best (Table 1). The difference in AICc scores from the 8th to 9th model was 5.14, which can be considered a large difference in model fit (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). Additionally, the eight best models accounted for 99% of the cumulative evidence (cumulative  $w_i$ ), so we are confident that these models encompass the most accurate explanation of the (co)variation in our dataset.

Considering these eight models, the average allometric slope for  $\dot{V}_{O_2, \text{max}}$  was 0.803 (95% confidence interval, CI 0.747–0.859) and the average slope for temperature using the same models was 0.051 (95% CI 0.041–0.061). All these models included the three dummy variables coding for helodermatids, varanids and skinks. The best model (based on AICc values) that included 'family' (with its 11 categories) had an AICc of -26.94 and was placed at the 23rd position. The best model that did not include family or the three dummy variables had an AICc of -31.80 and was placed at the 10th position. The allometric slope including only body mass and temperature was 0.861 (95% CI 0.795–0.927) from OLS regression and 0.857 (95% CI 0.782–0.931) from RegOU (Table 2).

The most influential independent variables were viviparity ( $\Sigma w_i$ =0.996), the combined set of dummy variables coding for helodermatids, varanids and skinks ( $\Sigma w_i$ =0.996), and climate ( $\Sigma w_i$ =0.734). Nocturnality was the next most influential variable, but its cumulative evidence was only 0.291. Diet and family with 11 categories had very low cumulative evidence ( $\Sigma w_i$ <0.01 each). The variables coding for viviparity, Varanidae and Helodermatidae were always statistically significant (P<0.05) in the top regression models (Table 1). Viviparity had a negative effect on  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ , whereas being part of helodermatids, varanids or skinks positively affected  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ , including in the phylogenetic regressions (RegOU).

The best eight models included a mix of OLS and RegOU (Table 1). The *d*-values of the RegOU models were all below 0.013. This value is much closer to 0 than it is to 1, meaning that the nodes of the tree were considerably moved towards the root. Consequently, the tree that best explains the residual variation in this dataset is much more similar to a star phylogeny than to its original configuration. For completeness, we also present full models including all independent variables (mass, temperature, family, diet, climate, nocturnality and viviparity) in Table 2.

# DISCUSSION

# V<sub>02,max</sub> allometry

In the present study, we estimated that  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  scales as body mass<sup>0.803</sup> among species of lizards. This allometric slope is the



Fig. 2. Scatterplots of  $\log_{10} \dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  (ml  $O_2 h^{-1}$ ) versus  $\log_{10}$  body mass (g) for 58 lizard species plus 7 populations (total of 65 data points). Values are color coded by (A) measurement temperature, (B) monophyletic taxonomic group or 'family' (see Materials and Methods, and Fig. 1; note that Gekkota is an infraorder), (C) viviparity, (D) climate and (E) nocturnality (0, not nocturnal; 1, nocturnal).

average of eight models that included measurement temperature (range: 20–40°C) as a covariate and also different combinations of viviparity, climate and nocturnality as independent variables (Table 1). The average 95% confidence interval of the allometric

slope of  $V_{O_2, \text{max}}$  from these top eight models (0.747–0.859) includes the 0.779 allometric exponent reported in our previous study restricted to lizard species measured within the 35–40°C range and estimated without regard to phylogeny (Garland and Albuquerque, Table 1. R<sup>2</sup>, likelihood and AICc of top 8 regression models (according to AICc scores) from models including all possible combinations of family, nocturnality, diet, climate and viviparity as

	ì																								
									Ma	SS	Temper	rature			Heloderi	natidae	Vara	nidae	Scine	cidae		Vivip	arity	Nocturi	nality
Method	Independent variables	$\mathbb{R}^2$	ML	AICc	d.f.	MSE	SEE	q	Slope	s.e.	Slope	s.e.	Diet P	Family P	Slope	Ь	Slope	Ρ	Slope	Р	Climate P	Slope	Р	Slope	Р
OLS	3families, viviparity, climate	0.978	33.425	-42.776	56	0.0243	0.1559	NA	0.801	0.0278	0.052	0.0040	AA	NA	0.312	0.0111	0.233	<0.0001	0.239	0.0255	0.0388	-0.355	0.0007	NA	NA
RegOU	3families, viviparity, climate	0.975	34.154	-41.327	56	0.0238	0.1543	0.0034	0.802	0.0292	0.052	0.0041	ΝA	NA	0.306	0.0137	0.223	<0.0001	0.233	0.0335	0.0446	-0.355	0.0009	NA	AN
OLS	3families, viviparity,	0.978	34.033	-41.085	55	0.0243	0.1558	NA	0.803	0.0279	0.047	0.0063	ΝA	NA	0.279	0.0277	0.220	<0.0001	0.226	0.0361	0.0307	-0.365	0.0006	-0.096	0.3127
	climate, nocturnality																								
OLS	3families, viviparity	0.975	29.654	-40.736	58	0.0263	0.1623	NA	0.803	0.0263	0.054	0.0041	ΝA	NA	0.334	0.0090	0.227	<0.0001	0.228	0.0394	AN	-0.428	0.0001	NA	NA
RegOU	3families, viviparity	0.971	30.471	-39.669	58	0.0258	0.1606	0.0079	0.810	0.0286	0.053	0.0042	ΝA	NA	0.326	0.0121	0.217	0.0002	0.209	0.0665	NA	-0.432	0.0001	NA	AN
RegOU	3families, viviparity,	0.975	34.828	-39.656	55	0.0238	0.1542	0.0013	0.804	0.0294	0.046	0.0063	ΝA	NA	0.269	0.0357	0.207	0.0002	0.218	0.0493	0.0374	-0.366	0.0007	-0.105	0.2694
	climate, nocturnality																								
OLS	3families, viviparity,	0.976	29.916	-38.559	57	0.0266	0.1631	NA	0.803	0.0264	0.050	0.0064	NA	NA	0.312	0.0184	0.218	<0.0001	0.219	0.0498	NA	-0.437	0.0001	-0.065	0.4994
	nocturnality																								
RegOU	3families, viviparity,	0.971	30.856	-37.638	57	0.0260	0.1611	0.0122	0.810	0.0291	0.048	0.0064	NA	NA	0.296	0.0283	0.204	0.0008	0.195	0.0924	NA	-0.445	0.0001	-0.088	0.3644
	nocturnality																								
'3families squares: F	indicates the set of three dumn teaOU. phylogenetic regressio	my variabli	es coding fc	or Heloderm stein–Uhlen	atidae, beck n	, Varanidae nodel of res	and Scino	idae. All m svolution: N	odels inclu AL. maxim	uded log <sub>10</sub> i 1011 likeliho	body mas	ss and tem Akaike in	perature	t, and for both	the partia rrected fo	l regressio r sample si	n coeffici ze: d.f c	ents always l	had P<0. ≽edom: M	001 (not sh 1SE. mean	own). Bold in squared erro	idicates siç	gnificance.	OLS, ordina	ary least mate: d.
			0													-					-				

parameter that estimates the transformation of the phylogenetic tree under an OU process

Journal of Experimental Biology (2020) 223, jeb229013. doi:10.1242/jeb.229013

2017). It also includes the value of 0.77 reported for 24 species of diurnal lizards (Autumn et al., 1999), but not the value of 0.96 for eight nocturnal species (Autumn et al., 1999) or the 0.74 slope reported for varanids (Clemente et al., 2009). Additionally, the allometric slope reported here is very similar to the value of 0.839 previously reported for a large size range of mammals analyzed with similar phylogenetic statistical methods (Dlugosz et al., 2013).

The best eight models included four OLS and four RegOU regressions with identical combinations of independent variables, as well as  $\log_{10}$  body mass and measurement temperature. These variables represented, in order of importance: viviparity, varanids, helodermatids, skinks, climate and nocturnality (discussed below). Moreover, the estimated values for the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck parameter (*d*) are close to zero (Table 1), indicating little hierarchical structure to the tree. Thus, once those independent variables are included as predictors, very little phylogenetic signal (Blomberg et al., 2003) remains in the residual variation in  $\log_{10} \dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ .

# **Differences among phylogenetic groups**

Partial regression coefficients showed that, on average, helodermatids and varanids had greater  $\dot{V}_{\rm O_2,\,max}$  than other lizards. These lineages are predators (Beck et al., 1995; Gienger et al., 2014; King and Green, 1993) and their high  $\dot{V}_{\rm O_2,\,max}$  has been noted previously (Beck et al., 1995; Clemente et al., 2009). Most varanid species use mobile foraging strategies that routinely cover long distances (Clemente et al., 2009) and so they probably benefit from relatively high aerobic capacity to sustain effort. Also, Clemente et al. (2009) showed that the coadaptation of high activity levels, endurance and  $\dot{V}_{\rm O_2,\,max}$  is probably plesiomorphic for Australian varanids (Clemente et al., 2009), so even though some of the species included here are sit-and-wait foragers, their high  $\dot{V}_{\rm O_2,\,max}$  levels may be explained by evolutionary history.

The two living species of helodermatids (Heloderma horridum and Heloderma suspectum, both included in this study) are sporadic, binge-feeders that prey on ephemeral and sparsely distributed food resources (Beck, 2005; Beck and Lowe, 1991; Stahnke, 1950). Gienger et al. (2014) showed that individual H. suspectum have a high proportional cost of activity relative to total energy expenditure during the active season, although they are largely inactive for most of the year. Previous studies also showed that helodermatids have relatively high endurance when accounting for body mass and temperature simultaneously (see fig. 11.7 in Garland, 1994). Perhaps the high  $\dot{V}_{O_2, \text{max}}$  observed in helodermatids is related to relatively higher activity levels during the short period when they are active above ground in search of food or mates (John-Alder et al., 1983; Jones, 1983). However, Beck et al. (1995) also suggested that the high  $V_{O_2, \text{max}}$  (and aerobic scope) of helodermatids can be attributed to strenuous male-male disputes, rather than foraging or activity levels, a suggestion that may also apply to varanids (Bickler and Anderson, 1986). Future studies could test that hypothesis by relating  $V_{O_2, \text{max}}$  to foraging success or the outcome of male-male disputes at the level of individual variation.

We also found that Scincidae tend to have higher  $\dot{V}_{O_2, \max}$  than other lizard groups (although the difference was not statistically significant in some models: Table 1). The vast majority of skinks are active and widely foraging species (Cooper, 1994a,b; Huey and Pianka, 1981). Cooper et al. (2000) showed that omnivorous and insectivorous skinks quickly respond to chemical cues from active prey, and that this behavioral trait seems to be plesiomorphic for the family. The four skink species included in the present study (*Tiliqua rugosa, Oligosoma macgregori, Oligosoma nigriplantare, Plestiodon skiltonianus*) are either omnivores or insectivores that Table 2. R<sup>2</sup>, likelihood and AICc of regression models including all independent variables considered in this study (top four rows) and regression models including only body mass and

ML																						
	AICc	d.f.	MSE	SEE	p	Slope	s.e.	Slope	s.e.	Diet P	Family P	Slope	Р	Slope	Р	Slope	٩	Climate P	Slope	٩	Slope	٩
81 38.87	7 -9.659	44	0.026	0.162	AA	0.809	0.0378	0.056	0.0136	0.5104	0.0289	AA	NA	AN	AN	NA	NA	0.1348	0.002	0.0018	0.247	0.246
78 39.06	6 -5.246	44	0.026	0.162	0.007	0.813	0.0419	0.055	0.0139	0.6530	0.0822	NA	NA	AN	NA	NA	NA	0.2237	0.003	0.0027	0.235	0.235
79 34.42	2 –29.048	51	0.0259	0.161	AN	0.803	0.0353	0.047	0.0067	0.8581	NA	0.301	0.0404	0.220	0.0008	0.235	0.0439	0.0365	-0.350	0.0019	-0.089	0.374
75 35.05	0 -26.767	51	0.0255	0.160	0.006	0.807	0.0384	0.046	0.0067	0.9890	NA	0.284	0.0564	0.206	0.0032	0.228	0.0565	0.0531	-0.356	0.0020	-0.098	0.334
52 7.765	3 -6.864	62	0.0483	0.2199	AN	0.861	0.0331	0.056	0.0054	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
33 15.04	-19.076	62	0.0387	0.1966	0.179	0.857	0.0373	0.052	0.0055	AN	AN	AN	AN	AN	AN	NA	NA	NA	AN	NA	NA	NA
0 0 0 0 0	<ul> <li>7.765</li> <li>7.765</li> <li>7.765</li> <li>3.15.04</li> </ul>	8         39.086         -5.246           9         34.422         -29.048           5         35.050         -26.767           7         7.7653         -6.864           3         15.046         -19.076	8         39.086         -5.246         44           9         34.422         -29.048         51           5         35.050         -26.767         51           7         7.7653         -6.864         62           3         15.046         -19.076         62	8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259           5         35.050         -26.767         51         0.0255           7         7.7653         -6.864         62         0.0483           3         15.046         -19.076         62         0.0337	8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.162           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161           5         35.050         -26.767         51         0.0255         0.160           7         7.7653         -6.864         62         0.0483         0.2199           3         15.046         r19.076         62         0.0387         0.1966	8         39.086        5.246         44         0.026         0.162         0.007           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         NA           5         35.050         -26.767         51         0.0255         0.160         0.0006           7         7.7653         -6.864         62         0.0483         0.1966         NA           3         15.046         -19.076         62         0.0387         0.1966         0.179	8         39.086        5.246         44         0.026         0.162         0.007         0.813           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         NA         0.803           5         35.050         -26.767         51         0.0255         0.160         0.006         0.807           7         7.7653         -6.864         62         0.0483         0.2199         NA         0.867           3         15.046         62         0.0387         0.2199         NA         0.861	8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.162         0.007         0.813         0.0419           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         NA         0.803         0.0353           5         35.050         -26.767         51         0.0255         0.160         0.006         0.807         0.0384           27         7.7653         -6.864         62         0.0483         0.2199         NA         0.867         0.0334           21         7.7653         -6.864         62         0.0483         0.2199         NA         0.867         0.0334           31         15.046         -19.076         62         0.0387         0.1966         0.179         0.857         0.0331	8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.162         0.007         0.813         0.0419         0.055           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         NA         0.803         0.0419         0.055           6         35.050         -26.767         51         0.0255         0.160         0.006         0.807         0.0384         0.045         0.045           7         7.7653         -6.864         62         0.0483         0.1966         0.179         0.867         0.0331         0.056         0           2         7.7653         -6.864         62         0.0387         0.1966         0.179         0.857         0.0331         0.055         0	8         39.086        5.246         44         0.026         0.162         0.007         0.813         0.0419         0.055         0.0139           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         NA         0.803         0.0353         0.047         0.0067           5         35.050         -26.767         51         0.0255         0.160         0.807         0.0334         0.046         0.0067           7         7.7653         -6.864         62         0.0433         0.2199         NA         0.807         0.0344         0.0067           7         7.7653         -6.864         62         0.0387         0.1966         0.179         0.857         0.0354         0.0055           3         15.046         -19.076         62         0.0387         0.1966         0.179         0.857         0.0373         0.055         0.0055	8         39.086        5.246         44         0.026         0.162         0.007         0.813         0.0419         0.055         0.0139         0.6530           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         NA         0.803         0.0373         0.047         0.0667         0.8651           6         35.050         -26.767         51         0.0255         0.160         0.006         0.807         0.034         0.0667         0.8651           7         7.7653         -66.864         62         0.0483         0.2199         NA         0.861         0.0344         0.0667         0.9890           7         7.7653         -66.864         62         0.0483         0.2199         NA         0.861         0.0334         0.0667         0.9890           7         7.7653         -61.946         62         0.0483         0.1996         0.7851         0.0334         0.0656         0.0654         NA           7         7.7663         -19.076         62         0.0334         0.1966         0.179         0.857         0.052         0.0655         NA	8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.162         0.007         0.813         0.0419         0.055         0.0139         0.6530         0.0822           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         Na         0.803         0.0353         0.047         0.8631         Na           5         35.050         -26.767         51         0.0255         0.160         0.807         0.3833         0.067         0.3893         Na           7         7.7653         -6.864         62         0.0269         0.160         0.807         0.384         0.067         0.3890         Na           7         7.7653         -6.864         62         0.0433         0.1906         0.807         0.0331         0.056         Na         Na           7         7.7653         -6.864         62         0.0387         0.1906         0.857         0.0331         0.056         Na         Na           7         15.046         -19.076         62         0.0387         0.0373         0.055         Na         Na	8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.162         0.0813         0.0419         0.055         0.0139         0.6530         0.0822         NA           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         Na         0.803         0.0333         0.047         0.8650         0.0822         Na           5         35.050         -26.767         51         0.0255         0.160         0.803         0.0343         0.046         0.9890         Na         0.301           2         7.7553         -66.864         62         0.1605         0.3871         0.0351         0.0056         0.2844         Na         0.2844           2         7.7553         -66.864         62         0.0433         0.2819         Na         0.2844         Na         Na           2         7.7553         -61.964         62         0.0383         0.2313         0.055         0.0055         Na         Na           3         15.046         -19.076         62         0.0337         0.052         0.0055         Na         Na         Na	30.86         -5.246         44         0.026         0.162         0.007         0.813         0.0419         0.055         0.0139         0.6530         0.0822         Na         Na           7         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         Na         0.803         0.047         0.0667         0.6531         Na         0.0404           7         35.050         -26.767         51         0.0255         0.160         0.8007         0.8841         Na         0.3044         0.0404           7         7.7653         -26.764         62         0.160         0.9067         0.8841         Na         0.3044         0.0564           7         7.7653         -66.864         62         0.169         0.9061         0.881         0.0564         Na         0.3644         0.0564           7         7.7653         -66.864         62         0.169         0.981         0.3631         0.0565         Na         Na         Na           7         7.7653         -66.864         62         0.0381         0.373         0.055         0.0055         Na         Na         Na           7         15.046         -19.076 <td< td=""><td>8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.161         0.031         0.0419         0.055         0.0139         0.6550         0.0922         NA         NA         NA           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         NA         0.303         0.0353         0.047         0.0067         0.8631         NA         0.301         0.0404         0.220           5         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0255         0.161         NA         0.303         0.3041         0.3061         0.3041         0.2044         0.204</td><td>30.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.162         0.0419         0.055         0.0139         0.66330         0.0822         NA         NA         NA           3         34.22         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         NA         0.8033         0.047         0.0667         0.8651         NA         NA         NA           3         34.22         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         NA         0.8033         0.047         0.0667         0.8691         NA         0.204         0.206           3         55.050         -26.767         51         0.0255         0.160         0.8031         0.0383         0.047         0.0667         0.3849         0.2064         0.2066         0.0003           3         7.7563         -6.864         62         0.0433         0.281         0.331         0.0564         0.206         0.0033           3         15.046         62         0.1966         0.1931         0.0556         NA         N</td><td>8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.162         0.041         0.055         0.0139         0.66330         0.0822         Na         Na</td><td>8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.161         0.031         0.035         0.0853         0.0823         N</td><td>8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.161         0.041         0.055         0.0139         0.6630         0.0822         Na         Na         Na         Na         Na         Na         Na         0.2237           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.1617         Na         0.8630         0.8631         Na         0.301         0.203         0.233         0.0353         0.0353         0.047         0.0067         0.8681         Na         0.203         0.0353         0.0355         0.0354         0.236         0.0364         0.236         0.0363         0.0355         0.0354         0.0354         0.0364         0.206         0.0355         0.0354         0.0354         0.0367         0.8890         Na         0.204         0.236         0.0564</td><td>8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.161         0.055         0.013         0.6530         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.200         0.235         0.6330         0.201         0.003         0.235         0.0335         0.0147         0.0061         0.220         0.0303         0.220         0.0343         0.220         0.0355         0.0355         0.0355         0.0356         0.03</td><td>8         3.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.1617         0.013         0.0136         0.6530         0.3822         Na         Na         Na         Na         Na         0.2237         0.003         0.0037         0.0034         0.0034         0.0037</td><td>8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.101         0.053         0.013         0.053         0.013         0.023         0.023         0.003         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.024         0.023         0.033         0.035         0.03</td></td<>	8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.161         0.031         0.0419         0.055         0.0139         0.6550         0.0922         NA         NA         NA           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         NA         0.303         0.0353         0.047         0.0067         0.8631         NA         0.301         0.0404         0.220           5         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0255         0.161         NA         0.303         0.3041         0.3061         0.3041         0.2044         0.204	30.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.162         0.0419         0.055         0.0139         0.66330         0.0822         NA         NA         NA           3         34.22         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         NA         0.8033         0.047         0.0667         0.8651         NA         NA         NA           3         34.22         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.161         NA         0.8033         0.047         0.0667         0.8691         NA         0.204         0.206           3         55.050         -26.767         51         0.0255         0.160         0.8031         0.0383         0.047         0.0667         0.3849         0.2064         0.2066         0.0003           3         7.7563         -6.864         62         0.0433         0.281         0.331         0.0564         0.206         0.0033           3         15.046         62         0.1966         0.1931         0.0556         NA         N	8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.162         0.041         0.055         0.0139         0.66330         0.0822         Na         Na	8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.161         0.031         0.035         0.0853         0.0823         N	8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.161         0.041         0.055         0.0139         0.6630         0.0822         Na         Na         Na         Na         Na         Na         Na         0.2237           9         34.422         -29.048         51         0.0259         0.1617         Na         0.8630         0.8631         Na         0.301         0.203         0.233         0.0353         0.0353         0.047         0.0067         0.8681         Na         0.203         0.0353         0.0355         0.0354         0.236         0.0364         0.236         0.0363         0.0355         0.0354         0.0354         0.0364         0.206         0.0355         0.0354         0.0354         0.0367         0.8890         Na         0.204         0.236         0.0564	8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.161         0.055         0.013         0.6530         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.6630         0.200         0.235         0.6330         0.201         0.003         0.235         0.0335         0.0147         0.0061         0.220         0.0303         0.220         0.0343         0.220         0.0355         0.0355         0.0355         0.0356         0.03	8         3.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.1617         0.013         0.0136         0.6530         0.3822         Na         Na         Na         Na         Na         0.2237         0.003         0.0037         0.0034         0.0034         0.0037	8         39.086         -5.246         44         0.026         0.101         0.053         0.013         0.053         0.013         0.023         0.023         0.003         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.023         0.024         0.023         0.033         0.035         0.03

Journal of Experimental Biology (2020) 223, jeb229013. doi:10.1242/jeb.229013

would probably show the same behavior. However, data on movement patterns or foraging strategy are not available for these species (but see Kerr and Bull, 2006), so we cannot make direct comparisons of activity levels and  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ .

Previous studies suggested that active foraging lizards have higher V<sub>O2, max</sub> (or other metabolic measures) than sit-and-wait ones (Bennett et al., 1984; Clemente et al., 2009; Nagy et al., 1984). The idea that active foragers have higher  $V_{O_2, max}$  is intuitive and straightforward. If an animal moves actively in search for food, then it would benefit if it could move faster without fatiguing and thus cover a larger distance in a given amount of time (see Garland and Albuquerque, 2017). Previous studies within families of lizards have reported that active foragers do indeed have higher  $V_{O_2, max}$ (Bennett et al., 1984; Clemente et al., 2009; Nagy et al., 1984). Here, we show that three families that are mostly composed of active foragers (Varanidae, Helodermatidae and Scincidae) have relatively high  $\dot{V}_{O_2, \text{max}}$ , but we did not observe such a pattern for Teiidae (also mostly composed of active foragers). However, foraging strategies and their associated movement speeds and patterns may be better represented by a continuum, rather than a simple dichotomous categorization (see Huey and Pianka, 1981; Huey et al., 2001). Unfortunately, detailed information on movement ecology in the field requires intensive field work, which limits our ability to associate interspecific variation in natural behaviors with locomotor capacity (Boratyński et al., 2020; Garland, 1999). Hopefully, future studies will expand the databases to allow more extensive comparisons.

# Diet, climate, nocturnality and viviparity correlates

Viviparity is strongly related to  $V_{O_2, max}$ , with an overall importance of 0.996 and is present in all of the top eight models (Table 2). However, contrary to our prediction, it had a negative effect on  $V_{O_{2}, max}$ . Viviparity is estimated to have evolved independently ~115 times in squamates (three of which are included in the dataset: Fig. 1) and it seems to originate most commonly in cold climates and in lizards that typically have low body temperatures (Gibson et al., 2015; Meiri et al., 2013; Mesquita et al., 2016; Pyron and Burbrink, 2014; Shine, 2005). However, the low  $\dot{V}_{O_2, \text{max}}$  that we observed in viviparous lizards is not related to low body temperature per se, as all models included measurement temperature as a covariate. Additional ecological or behavioral factors (e.g. activity levels) may explain this negative correlation. Perhaps the direction of the causal relationship is the reverse of what we outline in the Introduction. Pregnancy is known to reduce locomotor capacity in lizards (Garland and Else, 1987; Olsson et al., 2000; Zani et al., 2008). If viviparity has a relatively strong negative effect on locomotor capacity, then perhaps only species that do not rely on high locomotor performance could afford that extra cost.

Climate was significantly related to  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  in four of the eight top models, but its importance was 0.734, which is below the 0.8 threshold suggested to be considered an influential variable in model averaging (Calcagno and de Mazancourt, 2010). Climate was significantly related to endurance (and possibly  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ ) among 18 species of varanids (Clemente et al., 2009). Inspection of the partial regression coefficient of each climate category showed that 'temperate' had a significant negative effect on  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ . It is possible that some of the relationship between climate and  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ in lizards is driven by temperature variation. The structural complexity of the main habitats in each climate category (e.g. forests and deserts) might be related to the remaining correlation (e.g. Cloyed and Dell, 2020; Garland et al., 1988; Killen et al., 2016; but see Scales and Butler, 2016). Arid habitats should, on average, be more open and, perhaps, scarcer in food resources, which could lead to higher activity levels associated with foraging. Tropical habitats, such as ombrophilous forests, can also impose relative physical constraints on movements, which might increase the effort required to travel a given distance. Forests are also more shaded and offer fewer basking sites, creating the need for the lizard to move around more during thermoregulatory behavior.

None of the top eight models included diet (importance=0.003), and the best model that did had an AICc of -31.4303, which is considerably higher than any of the top eight models. These results indicate that diet, at least in the categories used here, is not associated with  $V_{O_2, max}$  among species of lizards. Carnivorous and insectivorous lizards are higher in trophic chains and, all else being equal, animals that have to hunt for prey should have to move around more and possibly have larger home ranges (Garland et al., 1993; Perry and Garland, 2002; Peters, 1977). These broad diet categories should also be related to ecological and behavioral traits, such as home range (Harestad and Bunnell, 1979; Perry and Garland, 2002), and activity levels (all else being equal, herbivores need to move less to obtain food). However, expecting a simple correlation between diet and  $V_{O_2, max}$  may be unrealistic because, among insectivorous and carnivorous lizards, a wide range of foraging strategies exist, including extreme sit-and-wait predators (e.g. Andrews, 1979; and to a smaller extent, Gambelia wislizenii in Rose, 2004) that might move even less than herbivores and have a reduced need for aerobic capacity. Conceivably, activity levels are more closely related to how active the prev is (Huey and Pianka, 1981). Diet is also a considerably variable trait throughout seasons and among populations within species (e.g. Albuquerque et al., 2018; Kolodiuk et al., 2009), so a mismatch between the populations used for  $V_{O_2, max}$  and diet data would reduce the correlation.

Even though nocturnality occurred in four of the top eight models, its overall importance was only 0.291 and it was not a significant predictor of  $\dot{V}_{O_2, \max}$  in any of them. Because we accounted for measurement temperature in all models, this result corroborates the previous finding that the lower  $\dot{V}_{O_2, \max}$  in nocturnal lizards is mostly explained by their lower body temperature (Autumn et al., 1999).

# Limitations of the present study and future directions

One limitation of the present study is that it relies on data compiled from multiple sources. In comparative vertebrate physiology, this is usually unavoidable because no single investigator or even research group is likely to be able to measure dozens or hundreds of vertebrate species. Accordingly, the field is replete with data mining and synthetic analyses. Nonetheless, such datasets will often contain errors, and these can have important effects on conclusions (Genoud et al., 2018; McKechnie and Wolf, 2004).

Several factors unmeasured in the present study may affect  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  and add noise to the potential correlations with traits evaluated. For example, sex differences may have added noise to the data (see Beck et al., 1995). Additionally, seasonality, especially in species exhibiting territoriality or seasonal reproduction, can have a significant effect on  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  (John-Alder, 1984; John-Alder et al., 2009). The  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$  data used here came from different studies conducted during different seasons and using individuals with different sexes (some studies report values for males and females separately, but others pool them together or do not report the sex).

In future studies, it would also be important to consider variation in other aspects of biology that may co-adapt with aerobic capacity, such as mating system and sexual selection (Bickler and Anderson, 1986; Galliard and Ferrière, 2008; Garland, 1993; Husak and Fox, 2008) or foraging mode or activity levels (e.g. as indexed by home range size; Perry and Garland, 2002), daily movement distances (Garland and Albuquerque, 2017) or movement rates (Perry, 1999; Perry et al., 1990). Additional viviparous species and measures of their activity in nature would help us to understand why this reproductive mode is associated with relatively low  $\dot{V}_{O_2, max}$ . Measurement of species spanning a wider range of ecological traits and behavioral traits would also be key.

Laboratory measures and experiments can also help understanding of the evolution of  $V_{O_2, max}$ . Studies of social dominance among male lizards have reported a positive correlation between dominance and sprint speed (Garland et al., 1990), endurance (Perry et al., 2004), and both sprint speed and endurance (Robson and Miles, 2000). The positive correlation with endurance is particularly interesting because during endurance trials energy is provided mostly by aerobic metabolism and, therefore, endurance capacity is expected to be influenced by  $V_{O_2, max}$  (see Garland, 1984, on individual variation in lizards). Additionally, in the dominance studies mentioned, lizards were placed in relatively small arenas and had to compete for a single basking site. That is a helpful setup if we want to understand what immediate factors can influence the outcome of agonistic interactions. However, natural encounters may differ in various ways. For example, in their natural habitat, lizards can retreat for short periods and then re-engage, which could increase the overall length of an interaction. When fighting for a single basking site, whichever individual wins the first round has the benefit of keeping optimal temperature, which could confer an advantage if the adversary tries to re-engage after a few minutes. In nature, both lizards have the opportunity to maintain optimal temperature and keep fighting for a longer period.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Christopher Clemente and Graham Thompson for providing the collection location for 9 varanid species, and Marcell Cadney and Jessica Tingle for providing comments to earlier versions of the manuscript before submission. Most of the results and discussion in this paper are reproduced from the PhD dissertation of R.L.A. (Albuquerque, 2019).

#### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

#### Author contributions

Conceptualization: R.L.A., T.G.; Methodology: R.L.A., T.G.; Software: T.G.; Validation: R.L.A.; Formal analysis: R.L.A., T.G.; Investigation: R.L.A., T.G.; Resources: R.L.A., T.G.; Data curation: R.L.A., T.G.; Writing - original draft: R.L.A.; Writing - review & editing: R.L.A., T.G.; Visualization: R.L.A., T.G.; Supervision: T.G.; Project administration: R.L.A., T.G.; Funding acquisition: R.L.A.

## Funding

R.L.A. was funded from January 2014 to December 2017 by the Science Without Borders program process 8935-13-0 from Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico.

## Data availability

An Excel file of the final dataset used for analyses, including body mass, body temperature during  $\dot{V}_{O_2,max}$  trials and  $\dot{V}_{O_2,max}$  in ml per hour, is available from the figshare digital repository; the phylogenetic tree is also available as a text file in NEXUS format that can be opened in any text editor, Mesquite, R or various other free software programs, and as a DSC file created from this: https://doi.org/10. 6084/m9.figshare.13150487.v2.

## References

Albuquerque, R. L. (2019). Predictors of maximal oxygen consumption during exercise, and ecological and behavioral correlates in lizards and mammals. *PhD* thesis, University of California, Riverside.

- Albuquerque, R. L., Sanchez, G. and Garland, T., Jr. (2015). Relationship between maximal oxygen consumption (VO<sub>2</sub>max) and home range area in mammals. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 88, 660-667. doi:10.1086/682680
- Albuquerque, R. L., Protázio, A. dos S., Cavalcanti, L. B. de Q., Lopez, L. C. S. and Mesquita, D. O. (2018). Geographical ecology of *Tropidurus hispidus* (Squamata: Tropiduridae) and *Cnemidophorus ocellifer* (Squamata: Teiidae) in a neotropical region: a comparison among Atlantic Forest, Caatinga, and coastal populations. *J. Herpetol.* **52**, 145-155. doi:10.1670/16-018
- Andrews, R. M. (1979). The lizard Corytophanes cristatus: an extreme "sit-and-wait" predator. Biotropica 11, 136-139. doi:10.2307/2387791
- Autumn, K., Jindrich, D., DeNardo, D. and Mueller, R. (1999). Locomotor performance at low temperature and the evolution of nocturnality in geckos. *Evolution* **53**, 580-599. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1999.tb03793.x
- Bauwens, D. and Thoen, C. (1981). Escape tactics and vulnerability to predation associated with reproduction in the lizard *Lacerta vivipara*. J. Anim. Ecol. 50, 733-743. doi:10.2307/4133
- Beck, D. D. (2005). *Biology of Gila Monsters and Beaded Lizards*. Berkeley, USA: University of California Press.
- Beck, D. D. and Lowe, C. H. (1991). Ecology of the beaded lizard, *Heloderma horridum*, in a tropical dry forest in jalisco, méxico. *J. Herpetol.* **25**, 395-406. doi:10.2307/1564760
- Beck, D. D., Dohm, M. R., Garland, T., Jr, Ramírez-Bautista, A. and Lowe, C. H. (1995). Locomotor performance and activity energetics of helodermatid lizards. *Copeia* 1995, 577-585. doi:10.2307/1446755
- Bennett, A. F. (1982). The energetics of reptilian activity. In *Biology of the Reptilia*, pp. 155-199. New York, USA: Academic Press.
- Bennett, A. F. (1983). Ecological consequences of activity metabolism. In *Lizard Ecology: Studies of a Model Organism* (ed. R. B. Huey, E. R. Pianka, and T. W. Schoener), pp. 11-23. Cambridge, USA: Harvard University Press.
- Bennett, A. F. and John-Alder, H. B. (1984). The effect of body temperature on the locomotory energetics of lizards. J. Comp. Physiol. B 155, 21-27. doi:10.1007/ BF00688787
- Bennett, A. F., Huey, R. B. and John-Alder, H. (1984). Physiological correlates of natural activity and locomotor capacity in two species of lacertid lizards. J. Comp. Physiol. B 154, 113-118. doi:10.1007/BF00684134
- Bickler, P. E. and Anderson, R. A. (1986). Ventilation, gas exchange, and aerobic scope in a small monitor lizard, *Varanus gilleni*. *Physiol. Zool.* 59, 76-83. doi:10. 1086/physzool.59.1.30156093
- Biro, P. A., Garland, T., Beckmann, C., Ujvari, B., Thomas, F. and Post, J. R. (2018). Metabolic scope as a proximate constraint on individual behavioral variation: effects on personality, plasticity, and predictability. *Am. Nat.* **192**, 142-154. doi:10.1086/697963
- Blomberg, S. P., Garland, T., Jr and Ives, A. R. (2003). Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution* 57, 717-745. doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2003.tb00285.x
- Boratyński, Z. (2020). Energetic constraints on mammalian home-range size. *Funct. Ecol.* 34, 468-474. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13480
- Boratyński, Z., Szyrmer, M. and Koteja, P. (2020). The metabolic performance predicts home range size of bank voles: a support for the behavioral– bioenergetics theory. *Oecologia* 193, 547-556. doi:10.1007/s00442-020-04704-x
- Brodie, E. D. (1989). Behavioral modification as a means of reducing the cost of reproduction. *Am. Nat.* **134**, 225-238. doi:10.1086/284977
- Bueno, J. and López-Urrutia, Á. (2014). Scaling up the curvature of mammalian metabolism. Front. Ecol. Evol. 157, 85-92.e1. doi:10.3389/fevo.2014.00061
- Burnham, K. P. and Anderson, D. R. (2002). Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach. New York: Springer.
- Calcagno, V. and de Mazancourt, C. (2010). glmulti: an *R* package for easy automated model selection with (generalized) linear models. *J. Stat. Softw.* 34, 1-29. doi:10.18637/jss.v034.i12
- Clemente, C. J., Withers, P. C. and Thompson, G. G. (2009) Metabolic rate and endurance capacity in Australian varanid lizards (Squamata: Varanidae: Varanus). Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 97, 664-676. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2009.01207.x
- Cloyed, C. S. and Dell, A. I. (2020). The body size and temperature dependence of organismal locomotion. *Ecology* **101**, e03114. doi:10.1002/ecy.3114
- Cooper, W. E., Jr. (1994a). Prey chemical discrimination, foraging mode, and phylogeny. In *Lizard Ecology: Historical and Experimental Perspectives* (ed. L. J. Vitt and E. R. Pianka), pp. 95-116. Princeton, USA: Princeton University Press.
- Cooper, W. E. (1994b). Chemical discrimination by tongue-flicking in lizards: A review with hypotheses on its origin and its ecological and phylogenetic relationships. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **20**, 439-487. doi:10.1007/BF02064449
- Cooper, W. E., Jr, Al–Johany, A. M., Vitt, L. J. and Habegger, J. J. (2000). Responses to chemical cues from animal and plant foods by actively foraging insectivorous and omnivorous scincine lizards. *J. Exp. Zool.* **287**, 327-339. doi:10. 1002/1097-010X(20001001)287:5<327::AID-JEZ1>3.0.CO;2-U
- Dlugosz, E. M., Chappell, M. A., Meek, T. H., Szafranska, P. A., Zub, K., Konarzewski, M., Jones, J. H., Bicudo, J. E. P. W., Nespolo, R. F., Careau, V. et al. (2013). Phylogenetic analysis of mammalian maximal oxygen consumption during exercise. J. Exp. Biol. 216, 4712-4721. doi:10.1242/jeb.088914
- Foster, K. L., Garland, T., Schmitz, L., Higham, T. E. (2018). Skink ecomorphology: forelimb and hind limb lengths, but not static stability, correlate

with habitat use and demonstrate multiple solutions. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **125**, 673-692. doi:10.1093/biolinnean/bly146

- Galliard, J.-F. L. and Ferrière, R. (2008). Evolution of maximal endurance capacity: natural and sexual selection across age classes in a lizard. *Evol. Ecol. Res.* 10, 157-176.
- Garland, T., Jr. (1984). Physiological correlates of locomotory performance in a lizard: an allometric approach. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 247, R806-R815. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.1984.247.5.R806
- Garland, T., Jr. (1993). Locomotor performance and activity metabolism of *Cnemidophorus tigris* in relation to natural behaviors. In *Biology of Whiptail lizards: genus Cnemidophorus* (ed. J. W. Wright and L. J. Vitt), pp. 163-210. Oklahoma Museum of Natural History and University of Oklahoma.
- Garland, T., Jr. (1994). Phylogenetic analyses of lizard endurance capacity in relation to body size and body temperature. In *Lizard Ecology: Historical and Experimental Perspectives* (ed. L. J. Vitt and E. R. Pianka), pp. 237-259. Princeton University Press.
- Garland, T., Jr. (1999). Laboratory endurance capacity predicts variation in field locomotor behaviour among lizard species. *Anim. Behav.* 58, 77-83. doi:10.1006/ anbe.1999.1132
- Garland, T., Jr. and Adolph, S. C. (1994). Why not to do two-species comparative studies: limitations on inferring adaptation. *Physiol. Zool.* 67, 797-828. doi:10. 1086/physzool.67.4.30163866
- Garland, T., Jr. and Albuquerque, R. L. (2017). Locomotion, energetics, performance, and behavior: a mammalian perspective on lizards, and vice versa. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 57, 252-266. doi:10.1093/icb/icx059
- Garland, T., Jr. and Else, P. L. (1987). Seasonal, sexual, and individual variation in endurance and activity metabolism in lizards. *Am. J Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 252, R439-R449. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.1987.252.3.R439
- Garland, T., Jr, Geiser, F. and Baudinette, R. V. (1988). Comparative locomotor performance of marsupial and placental mammals. *J. Zool.* **215**, 505-522. doi:10. 1111/j.1469-7998.1988.tb02856.x
- Garland, T., Jr, Hankins, E. and Huey, R. B. (1990). Locomotor capacity and social dominance in male lizards. *Funct. Ecol.* 4, 243-250. doi:10.2307/2389343
- Garland, T., Jr, Dickerman, A. W., Janis, C. M. and Jones, J. A. (1993). Phylogenetic analysis of covariance by computer simulation. *Syst. Biol.* 42, 265-292. doi:10.1093/sysbio/42.3.265
- Garland, T., Jr, Bennett, A. F. and Rezende, E. L. (2005). Phylogenetic approaches in comparative physiology. J. Exp. Biol. 208, 3015-3035. doi:10. 1242/jeb.01745
- Gartner, G. E. A., Hicks, J. W., Manzani, P. R., Andrade, D. V., Abe, A. S., Wang, T., Secor, S. M. and Garland, T., Jr. (2010). Phylogeny, ecology, and heart position in snakes. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 83, 43-54. doi:10.1086/648509
- Genoud, M., Isler, K. and Martin, R. D. (2018). Comparative analyses of basal rate of metabolism in mammals: data selection does matter. *Biol. Rev.* 93, 404-438. doi:10.1111/brv.12350
- Gibson, S., Penniket, S. and Cree, A. (2015). Are viviparous lizards from cool climates ever exclusively nocturnal? Evidence for extensive basking in a New Zealand gecko. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **115**, 882-895. doi:10.1111/bij.12533
- Gienger, C. M., Tracy, C. R. and Nagy, K. A. (2014). Life in the lizard slow lane: Gila Monsters have low rates of energy use and water flux. *Copeia* 2014, 279-287. doi:10.1643/CP-13-086
- Harestad, A. S. and Bunnell, F. L. (1979). Home range and body weight–a reevaluation. *Ecology* 60, 389-402. doi:10.2307/1937667
- Huey, R. B. and Pianka, E. R. (1981). Ecological consequences of foraging mode. Ecology 62, 991-999. doi:10.2307/1936998
- Huey, R. B., Bennett, A. F., John-Alder, H. and Nagy, K. A. (1984). Locomotor capacity and foraging behaviour of Kalahari lacertid lizards. *Anim. Behav.* 32, 41-50. doi:10.1016/S0003-3472(84)80322-X
- Huey, R. B., Pianka, E. R. and Vitt, L. J. (2001). How often do lizards "run on empty"? *Ecology* 82, 1-7. doi:10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082[0001:HODLRO]2.0. CO;2
- Husak, J. F. and Fox, S. F. (2008). Sexual selection on locomotor performance. Evol. Ecol. Res. 10, 213-228.
- Ives, A. R., Midford, P. E. and Garland, T., Jr. (2007). Within-species variation and measurement error in phylogenetic comparative methods. *Syst. Biol.* 56, 252-270. doi:10.1080/10635150701313830
- John-Alder, H. B. (1984). Seasonal variations in activity, aerobic energetic capacities, and plasma thyroid hormones (T3 and T4) in an iguanid lizard. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* **154**, 409-419. doi:10.1007/BF00684448
- John-Alder, H. B. and Bennett, A. F. (1981). Thermal dependence of endurance and locomotory energetics in a lizard. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 241, R342-R349. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.1981.241.5.R342
- John-Alder, H. B., Lowe, C. H. and Bennett, A. F. (1983). Thermal dependence of locomotory energetics and aerobic capacity of the Gila monster (*Heloderma* suspectum). J. Comp. Physiol. **151**, 119-126. doi:10.1007/BF00689909
- John-Alder, H. B., Garland, T. and Bennett, A. F. (1986). Locomotory capacities, oxygen consumption, and the cost of locomotion of the shingle-back lizard (*Trachydosaurus rugosus*). *Physiol. Zool.* **59**, 523-531. doi:10.1086/physzool.59. 5.30156116

- John-Alder, H. B., Cox, R. M., Haenel, G. J. and Smith, L. C. (2009). Hormones, performance and fitness: Natural history and endocrine experiments on a lizard (*Sceloporus undulatus*). *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 49, 393-407. doi:10.1093/icb/icp060
   Jones, K. B. (1983). Movement patterns and foraging ecology of Gila Monsters
- (*Heloderma suspectum cope*) in northwestern Arizona. *Herpetologica* **39**, 247-253.
- Jones, J. H. and Lindstedt, S. L. (1993). Limits to maximal performance. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 55, 547-569. doi:10.1146/annurev.ph.55.030193.002555
- Kerr, G. D. and Bull, C. M. (2006). Movement patterns in the monogamous sleepy lizard (Tiliqua rugosa): effects of gender, drought, time of year and time of day. J. Zool. 269, 137-147. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.2006.00091.x
- Killen, S. S., Glazier, D. S., Rezende, E. L., Clark, T. D., Atkinson, D., Willener, A. S. T. and Halsey, L. G. (2016). Ecological influences and morphological correlates of resting and maximal metabolic rates across teleost fish species. *Am. Nat.* 187, 592-606. doi:10.1086/685893
- King, D. and Green, B. (1993). Goanna: the Biology of Varanid Lizards, 1st edn. Kensington, NSW: Portland, Or: New South Wales University Press; Available in North America through International Specialised Book Services.
- Kolodiuk, M. F., Ribeiro, L. B. and Freire, E. M. X. (2009). The effects of seasonality on the foraging behavior of *Tropidurus hispidus* and *Tropidurus semitaeniatus* (Squamata: Tropiduridae) living in sympatry in the Caatinga of northeastern Brazil. *Zoologia* (*Curitiba*) 26, 581-585. doi:10.1590/S1984-46702009000300026
- Kolokotrones, T., Savage, V., Deeds, E. J. and Fontana, W. (2010). Curvature in metabolic scaling. *Nature* 464, 753-756. doi:10.1038/nature08920
- Lavin, S. R., Karasov, W. H., Ives, A. R., Middleton, K. M. and Garland, T., Jr. (2008). Morphometrics of the avian small intestine compared with that of nonflying mammals: a phylogenetic approach. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 81, 526-550. doi:10. 1086/590395
- Levine, B. D. (2008). VO<sub>2</sub>max: what do we know, and what do we still need to know? J. Physiol. 586, 25-34. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2007.147629
- McKechnie, A. E. and Wolf, B. O. (2004). The allometry of avian basal metabolic rate: good predictions need good data. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 77, 502-521. doi:10.1086/383511
- Meiri, S., Bauer, A. M., Chirio, L., Colli, G. R., Das, I., Doan, T. M., Feldman, A., Herrera, F.-C., Novosolov, M., Pafilis, P. et al. (2013). Are lizards feeling the heat? A tale of ecology and evolution under two temperatures. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 22, 834-845. doi:10.1111/geb.12053
- Mesquita, D. O., Colli, G. R., Costa, G. C., Costa, T. B., Shepard, D. B., Vitt, L. J. and Pianka, E. R. (2015). Life history data of lizards of the world. *Ecology* 96, 594-594. doi:10.1890/14-1453.1
- Mesquita, D. O., Costa, G. C., Colli, G. R., Costa, T. B., Shepard, D. B., Vitt, L. J. and Pianka, E. R. (2016). Life-history patterns of lizards of the world. *Am. Nat.* 187, 689-705. doi:10.1086/686055
- Nagy, K. A., Huey, R. B. and Bennett, A. F. (1984). Field energetics and foraging mode of Kalahari lacertid lizards. *Ecology* 65, 588-596. doi:10.2307/1941421
- Olsson, A. F., Shine, A. F. and Bak-Olsson, A. F. (2000). Locomotor impairment of gravid lizards: is the burden physical or physiological? *J. Evol. Biol.* 13, 263-268. doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.2000.00162.x
- Peel, M. C., Finlayson, B. L. and McMahon, T. A. (2007). Updated world map of the Koppen-Geiger climate classification. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 11, 1633-1644. doi:10.5194/hess-11-1633-2007

- Perry, G. (1999). The evolution of search modes: ecological versus phylogenetic perspectives. Am. Nat. 153, 98-109. doi:10.1086/303145
- Perry, G. and Garland, T., Jr. (2002). Lizard home ranges revisited: effects of sex, body size, diet, habitat, and phylogeny. *Ecology* 83, 1870-1885. doi:10.1890/ 0012-9658(2002)083[1870:LHRREO]2.0.CO;2
- Perry, G., Lampl, I., Lerner, A., Rothenstein, D., Shani, E., Sivan, N. and Werner, Y. L. (1990). Foraging mode in lacertid lizards: variation and correlates. *Amphib-Reptilia* **11**, 373-384. doi:10.1163/156853890X00069
- Perry, G., LeVering, K., Girard, I. and Garland, T. (2004). Locomotor performance and social dominance in male *Anolis cristatellus*. *Anim. Behav.* 67, 37-47. doi:10. 1016/j.anbehav.2003.02.003
- Peters, R. H. (1977). The unpredictable problems of tropho-dynamics. *Environ. Biol. Fishes* **2**, 97-101. doi:10.1007/BF00005365
- Pyron, R. A. and Burbrink, F. T. (2014). Early origin of viviparity and multiple reversions to oviparity in squamate reptiles. *Ecol. Lett.* 17, 13-21. doi:10.1111/ele. 12168
- Robson, M. A. and Miles, D. B. (2000). Locomotor performance and dominance in male Tree Lizards, *Urosaurus ornatus. Funct. Ecol.* **14**, 338-344. doi:10.1046/j. 1365-2435.2000.00427.x
- Rose, E. L. (2004). Foraging behavior in *Gambelia wislizenii*, the long-nosed leopard lizard, in Harney County, Oregon. MSc thesis, Western Washington University. doi:10.13140/2.1.3771.0720
- Scales, J. A. and Butler, M. A. (2016). Adaptive evolution in locomotor performance: How selective pressures and functional relationships produce diversity. *Evolution* **70**, 48-61. doi:10.1111/evo.12825
- Seeherman, H. J., Richard Taylor, C., Maloiy, G. M. O. and Armstrong, R. B. (1981). Design of the mammalian respiratory system. II. Measuring maximum aerobic capacity. *Respir. Physiol.* 44, 11-23. doi:10.1016/0034-5687(81)90074-8
- Shine, R. (2005). Life-history evolution in reptiles. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 36, 23-46. doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.102003.152631
- Sinervo, B., Miles, D. B., Frankino, W. A., Klukowski, M. and DeNardo, D. F. (2000). Testosterone, endurance, and Darwinian fitness: natural and sexual selection on the physiological bases of alternative male behaviors in sideblotched lizards. *Horm. Behav.* 38, 222-233. doi:10.1006/hbeh.2000.1622
- Slinker, B. K. and Glantz, S. A. (1985). Multiple regression for physiological data analysis: the problem of multicollinearity. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 249, R1-R12. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.1985.249.1.R1
- Spurway, N. C., Ekblom, B., Noakes, T. D. and Wagner, P. D. (2012). What limits VO<sub>2</sub>max? *J. Sports Sci.* **30**, 517-531. doi:10.1080/02640414.2011.642809
- Stahnke, H. L. (1950). The food of the Gila Monster. *Herpetologica* 6, 103-106.
- Tonini, J. F. R., Beard, K. H., Ferreira, R. B., Jetz, W. and Pyron, R. A. (2016). Fully-sampled phylogenies of squamates reveal evolutionary patterns in threat status. *Biol. Conserv.* 204, 23-31. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2016.03.039
- Verberk, W. C. E. P., Calosi, P., Brischoux, F., Spicer, J. I., Garland, T. and Bilton, D. T. (2020). Universal metabolic constraints shape the evolutionary ecology of diving in animals. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 287, 20200488. doi:10.1098/rspb. 2020.0488
- Zani, P. A., Neuhaus, R. A., Jones, T. D. and Milgrom, J. E. (2008). Effects of reproductive burden on endurance performance in side-blotched lizards (*Uta stansburiana*). J. Herpetol. 42, 76-81. doi:10.1670/07-090R1.1