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Abstract

Locomotion is a defining characteristic of animal life and plays a crucial role in most behaviors. Locomotion involves physical activity, which can have far-reaching effects on physiology and neurobiology, both acutely and chronically. In human populations and in laboratory rodents, higher levels of physical activity are generally associated with positive health outcomes, although excessive exercise can have adverse consequences. If and how such relationships occur in wild animals is unknown. Behavioral variation among individuals arises from genetic and environmental factors, their interactions, and also from developmental programming (persistent effects of early-life environment). Although tremendous progress has been made in identifying genetic and environmental influences on individual differences in behavior, early-life effects are not well understood. Early-life effects can in some cases persist across multiple generations following a single exposure and, in principle, may constrain or facilitate the rate of evolution at multiple levels of biological organization. Understanding the mechanisms of such trans-generational effects (e.g., exposure to stress hormones in utero, inherited epigenetic alterations) may prove crucial to explaining unexpected and/or sex-specific responses to selection, as well as limits to adaptation. One area receiving increased attention is early-life effects on adult physical activity. Correlational data from epidemiological studies suggest that early-life nutritional stress can (adversely) affect adult human activity levels and associated physiological traits (e.g., body composition, metabolic health). The few existing studies of laboratory rodents demonstrate that both maternal and early-life exercise can affect adult levels of physical activity and related phenotypes. Going forward, rodents offer many opportunities for experimental studies of (multi-generational) early-life effects, including studies that use maternal exposures and cross-fostering designs.
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1.1 Ecological and Evolutionary Importance of Locomotor Behavior

Locomotion is a defining characteristic of animal life and locomotor behavior plays a crucial role in most natural activities, including foraging, searching for mates, escaping from predators, patrolling the home range, dispersal, and migration. Consequently, individual differences in locomotor behavior have the potential to be important determinants of various components of Darwinian fitness, such as survivorship and fecundity (Feder et al. 2010; Careau and Garland 2012). However, for animals in the wild, few empirical studies have examined relationships between measures of physical activity (e.g., daily movement distance, home range size) and components of Darwinian fitness (for some examples with lizards, see: Civantos 2000; Clobert et al. 2000; Sinervo et al. 2000).

Although studies of individual variation in physical activity as it relates to fitness components are few and far between, some comparisons of species have identified relationships between locomotor behavior observed in the wild and aspects of locomotor performance as measured under controlled laboratory conditions (e.g., Garland 1999; Albuquerque et al. 2015). These relationships imply correlated evolution, likely because they coadapted in response to selection (Huey and Bennett 1987; Bawens et al. 1995; Angilletta Jr et al. 2006) (but see Artacho et al. 2015). Although most typically it is imagined that past natural selection acted to favor the evolution of appropriate behaviors and physiological abilities, sexual selection may also have played a role (Irschick et al. 2007; Kuijper et al. 2012; Oufiero et al. 2014). In any case, locomotor behavior and activity levels can be viewed as key aspects of an animal’s overall function, behavioral ecology, life history, and evolutionary biology (Dickinson et al. 2000; Nathan 2008; Kuhn et al. 2016; Wallace and Garland 2016).

Most voluntary behaviors can be classified as complex traits, and locomotor behavior
(physical activity) is no exception (Swallow and Garland 2005; Garland and Kelly 2006; Garland et al. 2011b, 2017). Behaviors result from interactions of the brain, nerves, and muscles, supported by the digestive, circulatory, and thermoregulatory systems. Like all voluntary behaviors, the expression of voluntary activity can be limited by either motivation or ability. An individual with an extremely high motivation to be active will likely be limited by its exercise abilities (presuming that it has ample opportunity to be active), whereas a "super athlete" in terms of physiology will not run a marathon unless duly motivated. This type of interaction is an example of what can be termed phenotypic epistasis (Phillips 2008; Rice 2008).

The complexity of activity levels goes beyond the motivation-ability dichotomy. Total activity (e.g., daily movement distance in the wild: Garland 1983, 1999; Goszczynski 1986) will be the product of the amount of time spent moving (duration) and the average speed (intensity), each of which can be limited by motivation or ability. In turn, each of these four lowest-level traits (motivation or ability for both duration and intensity) is affected by genes, environmental factors, gene-by-environment interactions, and developmental programming (a general term describing the entrainment of early-life effects). Moreover, aspects of motivation and ability might share certain components. For example, susceptibility to exercise-induced muscle pain could be affected by properties of muscles as well as integration of pain signals in brain areas that affect motivation and reward. Such shared and interactive effects can also be modified in an acute sense by immediate environmental conditions. For example, a heavy snow fall could pose physical and thermoregulatory challenges that might affect both motivation (e.g., via hunger) and ability (via the physical impediments of moving through deep snow).

The non-additive complexity of interactions among the subordinate traits that determine activity levels means that single-factor manipulations (e.g., Kolb et al. 2010) may be less
informative than in simpler, more linear physiological or biomechanical systems. Moreover, selection generally does not act directly on single subordinate traits but rather at higher levels of biological organization, such as behavior and organismal performance (e.g., Garland and Kelly 2006; Careau and Garland 2012; Storz et al. 2015). Therefore, selection experiments and experimental evolution have become popular approaches to elucidate both the evolution and biological underpinnings of particular complex traits (Garland 2003; Swallow and Garland 2005; Garland and Kelly 2006; Garland and Rose 2009; Kawecki et al. 2012; Storz et al. 2015).

However, before entertaining the possibility of a phenotypic selection experiment, it is prudent to consider the extent to which a trait is heritable and hence likely to respond to selection.

1.2 Genes, Environment, and (Locomotor) Behavior

Most behaviors that have been studied from the perspective of quantitative genetics show some evidence of narrow-sense heritability within particular study populations, including both humans and rodents (Turkheimer 2000; Visscher et al. 2008; Jensen 2015). Aspects of locomotor behavior measured in various contexts are no exception (DeFries et al. 1978; Roberts et al. 2012; Careau et al. 2013; Kelly and Pomp 2013; de Geus et al. 2014; Gielen et al. 2014).

Most of the existing quantitative genetic studies of locomotor behavior suffer from the typical limitations of quantitative genetics. The total phenotypic variation within a population is statistically partitioned into a minimum of two components (genetic and environmental), which are then treated as veritable black boxes. Depending on the related individuals included in the analysis, rearing conditions, sample size, and the aptness of the fitted statistical model, the genetic and environmental components of variance can be broken down into many more subcomponents, including additive genetic, dominance genetic, epistatic genetic, pre-natal maternal environmental,
post-natal maternal environmental, and interactions between the various genetic and/or
environmental subcomponents (Falconer and Mackay 1996). Nonetheless, each of these
subcomponents is still treated as a black box in that (typically) no attempt is made to identify the
specific factors (mechanisms) that act on the phenotype of interest within the categories. For
example, if post-natal maternal effects are found to be substantial in a study of rodents, then that
study typically does not include measurement of possible maternal factors that might be involved
(e.g., milk yield, milk composition, maternal behaviors). This is understandable in that one must
first identify the important sources of variation before pursuing detailed studies of those sources,
but relatively few studies seem to follow-up on the initial findings.

Moving beyond the statistical descriptors that derive from quantitative genetic analyses,
chromosomal regions and specific genes associated with locomotor behavior have been identified
in mammals (e.g., Kelly et al. 2012a; Kelly and Pomp 2013; Kostrzewa and Kas 2014). However,
much of the individual variation in locomotor behavior is not accounted for by genetics (e.g.,
Kelly et al. 2012a; Kelly and Pomp 2013; Kostrzewa and Kas 2014), highlighting the importance
of environmental effects. Considerable progress has also been made towards understanding the
neural and endocrine substrates of variation in activity levels, such as the involvement of
dopamine signaling in the brain's reward pathways in the control of voluntary exercise (e.g., see
Rhodes et al. 2005; Knab and Lightfoot 2010; Garland et al. 2011b; Keeney et al. 2012; Roberts et
al. 2012; Waters et al. 2013; Majdak et al. 2014). However, the possible role of epigenetic
processes in the control of locomotor behavior has scarcely been studied (Zhu et al. 2016).

1.3 Genes, Environment, and "Epigenetic" Processes Affect Complex Traits

Many aspects of developmental processes can be influenced by environmental factors
Epigenetic development is one such process that has recently attracted much attention. Modern usage of the term “epigenetics” stems from Conrad Waddington, who used it to bridge Mendelian inheritance and developmental biology (Waddington 1939). Epigenetics was broadly used as an explanation for how cells grew into differentiated cell types in different regions of the body during development, a concept which is still used today (Zhou et al. 2011). Some confusion has ensued because the definition, usage, and conceptualization of the term “epigenetic” has evolved since Waddington’s oft-cited 1942 paper (Waddington 1942). Part of this confusion may stem from the tendency for articles published in biomedically oriented journals not to cite journals in ecology/evolutionary biology/organismal biology (e.g., see Rosvall and Bergstrom 2007; Nesse and Stearns 2008). The inconsistent usage of the term is evident in Table 1. As Burggren and Crews note, “a reading of the epigenetic scientific literature reveals that the use of the word 'epigenetics' is currently relatively messy, with ambiguity apparent, especially over the past two decades” (Burggren and Crews 2014). The prefix, *epi*, of the term “epigenetics” comes from the Greek for “above,” “over,” or “outside.” Thus, epigenetics happens “above” the genetic level. In the 1930s, “epigenetics” was based on the concept of epigenesis, and defined as the manifestation of genotypic variation into phenotypes (Waddington 1953). More complete histories and etymologies of epigenetics can be found elsewhere (Holliday 2006; Deans and Maggert 2015).

Holliday (Holliday 1994) and others refined the study of epigenetics to a more molecular level, as in the following definition by Riggs and colleagues: “the study of mitotically and/or meiotically heritable changes in gene function that cannot be explained by changes in DNA sequence” (Riggs et al. 1996). But the definition continues to be in flux. Jablonka and Raz (Jablonka and Raz 2009) proposed that although some components of epigenetics are heritable
transmitted to subsequent generations of cells or organisms”), they need not necessarily be. For example, the mechanical forces involved in muscle contractions in utero are important epigenetic factors in skeletogenesis (Carter et al. 1998), and phenotypic differences in monozygotic twins can be attributed to epigenetic differences accumulated during the lifetime of each twin that would not be inherited by their offspring (Fraga et al. 2005).

Thus, Jablonka and Raz defined epigenetics as “the study of the processes that underlie developmental plasticity and canalization and that bring about persistent developmental effects in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes” (Jablonka and Raz 2009). They next define “epigenetic inheritance” as “… phenotypic variations that do not stem from variations in DNA base sequences [which] are transmitted to subsequent generations of cells or organisms.” Epigenetic inheritance can be transgenerational if the mechanism of heritable epigenetic variation occurs in or is otherwise transmissible to germ line cells. Bonduriansky (Bonduriansky 2012) discusses the varied and complex implications that non-genetic inheritance has on the classic Mendelian model of heredity.

Some are proposing to broaden the scope of epigenetics even further, defining it as the study of any mechanisms for the inheritance of biological traits that are not themselves coded into the genome (nucleotide sequence) of the organism (e.g., see Burggren and Crews 2014). This definition encompasses any example of inheritance so long as the biological trait involved is not strictly genetic, regardless of the specific nature of the mechanism involved (DNA methylation, histone modification, etc.).

Jablonka and Raz (Jablonka and Raz 2009) also proposed “broad” and “narrow” sense descriptions of epigenetics to define different modes of inheritance. In the narrow sense, there must be transmission of biological variation not due to variation in DNA nucleotide sequences
from mother to daughter cell. The narrow aspect of epigenetic inheritance focuses on sexual and asexual reproduction. The cell, rather than multicellular individuals, is the unit of transmission. When defining epigenetics, many researchers may restrict its usage to the system they are studying. Holliday, for example, proposed that epigenetics is “the study of the mechanisms of temporal and spatial control of gene activity during the development of complex organisms” (Holliday 1990). Indeed, it is not uncommon to find researchers insisting upon a direct mechanism of gene regulation for a biological trait to qualify something as “epigenetic.” Prions are an apparent exception to these strict considerations (Halfmann and Lindquist 2010). Here, we use the term "epigenetic" to refer to mitotically heritable alterations in gene expression potential that are not caused by changes in DNA sequence (Waterland and Michels 2007).

The expression of behavior is reliant, to a certain extent, on the expressional outcomes of the genome. Some behaviors are innate or instinctual, whereas others arise only in individuals who were exposed to certain experiences. To illustrate the latter point, consider the work of Meaney, Szyf, and their colleagues, which experimentally demonstrates the transmission of epigenetic factors that result in an anxiety phenotype in laboratory rats. Rats who were neglected early in life as pups by their mother grew into adults with higher corticosterone levels. Neglected rats also showed higher methylation of the promoter region of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) gene in the hippocampus, which blocks promoter factors from binding and represses the gene, thus down-regulating GR expression. The result was diminished resilience to stress, which manifested as higher levels of anxiety in the animal. Adult rats who experienced higher levels of anxiety did not lick or groom their own pups as often as did rats with lower levels of anxiety and higher levels of GR expression (Caldji et al. 2001; Meaney and Szyf 2005). To be clear, there does not appear to be any biological transmission of chemical epigenetic factors from mother to offspring. Rather,
the behavior of the parent had epigenetically altered expression of a gene in the offspring, which
made the offspring phenotypically resemble the parent. Such phenomena have been termed
‘recapitulation,’ which is distinct from transgenerational epigenetic inheritance (Waterland 2014).

1.4 Causes and Consequences of Early-life Effects

"Early-life" effects potentially can occur at any point prior to sexual maturity, while the
brain and body continue to develop, including alterations of sperm or eggs that occur prior to
conception, during gestation or egg development, around birth, and while experiencing maternal
or paternal provisioning and care (e.g., via lactation) (Figure 1). This definition indicates that
"early-life" ends at sexual maturity, which seems an appropriate developmental milestone.

Genetic and environmental factors (including those affecting epigenetic processes) may act
in an age- or stage-specific manner, or their effects may extend over fairly long portions of an
organism's total life cycle. Long-lasting environmental and epigenetic effects are particularly
likely if they occur during critical periods of development. This concept has led to growing
recognition of the importance of "developmental programming," "fetal programming," "biological
embedding," and the Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHAD) hypothesis
(Waterland and Garza 1999; Ross and Desai 2005; Breier et al. 2006; Taylor and Poston 2007;
Waterland and Michels 2007; Hanson and Gluckman 2008; McGowan et al. 2008; Samuelsson et
al. 2008; Langley-Evans 2009; Metges 2009; Alfaradhi and Ozanne 2011; Sullivan et al. 2011;
Hertzman 2012; Bahls et al. 2014; Grissom et al. 2014; Ong and Muhlhauser 2014; Öst et al.
2014; Barboza Solís et al. 2015).

Considered broadly, early-life effects might occur through variation in any environmental
factor, whether abiotic or biotic. For example, most ectothermic vertebrates experience variation
in temperature as developing embryos while inside the mother, incubating in a nest, or shortly
after birth or hatching -- and this variation has the potential to alter developmental processes in
ways that could have lasting effects on various phenotypic traits (e.g., Mitchell et al. 2013). As
another example, birds, during the egg stage, are potentially exposed to a relatively wide range of
variation in temperature, which could have long-lasting effects. Indeed, birds have become
convenient model systems for studies of early-life effects because the egg stage facilitates
separating prenatal versus postnatal effects (Crino and Breuner 2015; Ben-Ezra and Burness 2017;
Lynn and Kern 2017).

In mammals, lasting effects on adult traits can be caused by variation in amount or quality
of maternal care, transmission of hormones and nutrients to offspring in utero or during lactation,
general levels of energy availability, the social environment, and occurrence of various physical or
psychological stressors (Alfaradhi and Ozanne 2011; Connor et al. 2012). Some of the effects
may be mediated by such intermediate phenotypes as alterations in fetal growth rates, whereas
others may be more direct. The nature of a given early-life effect can differ between pre- and
postnatal periods (Simar et al. 2012). Certain early-life effects can amplify across successive
generations, i.e., effects accumulate transgenerationally (Waterland et al. 2008). Moreover, they
can interact with other sources of environmental and genetic variation, including sex (Whitaker et
al. 2012), in ways that facilitate or constrain the rate of evolution at multiple levels of biological
organization (Badyaev 2008; Paranjpe et al. 2013). Hence, understanding early-life effects may
prove crucial to explaining sex-specific responses to selection (Garland et al. 2011a; Keeney et al.
2012) and limits to behavioral evolution (Careau et al. 2013, 2015).

Of various potential biological mechanisms mediating the persistent effects of early-life
exposures (Waterland and Garza 1999), induced alterations in epigenetic regulation likely play an
important role in developmental programming of physical activity (Waterland 2014; Zhu et al.
2016). In particular, the epigenetic mechanism of DNA methylation is a prime candidate
(Alvarado et al. 2014); developmental establishment of DNA methylation is affected by
environment (Jirtle and Skinner 2007) and, once established, is maintained with high fidelity
(Cedar and Bergman 2009), enabling the life-scale stability that is the hallmark of developmental
programming.

Alterations in epigenetic regulation need to be understood at the level of the intermediate
phenotypes (endophenotypes) or subordinate traits that lead ultimately to dysregulation of
organismal function and behavior. Additionally, despite the overwhelming current focus of the
developmental origins field on epigenetics as an underlying mechanism, it is essential to recognize
that epigenetics is just one of multiple developmental mechanisms that are likely coordinately
impacted by environment (Waterland and Garza 1999; Waterland 2014). In particular, one
mechanism that previously received much more attention is induced alterations in morphological
development (Waterland and Garza 1999). During various critical ontogenetic periods, both
epigenetic and morphological development are underway, and are intimately intertwined. For
example, in the mouse, during postnatal development of arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus, cell-
type specific maturation of DNA methylation (Li et al. 2014) occurs at the same time that neuronal
projections are forming from the arcuate nucleus to other regions of the hypothalamus (Bouret et
al. 2004). Hence, although it is useful to list potential mechanisms of developmental
programming, studying these interacting processes in an integrative fashion will be essential to
gain meaningful insights into how environment affects developmental outcomes, including
physical activity behavior (Waterland 2014).
1.5 Early-life Effects on Adult Physical Activity in Humans and Rodents

Human studies suggest that early-life experiences from conception to sexual maturity can alter adult levels of voluntary exercise (VE) and/or spontaneous physical activity (SPA). For example, in a prospective birth-cohort study using accelerometers, parents’ physical activity during pregnancy and early in the child’s life was positively associated with the child's physical activity at 11-12 years (Mattocks et al. 2008). Adults exposed to the Dutch Famine during gestation have increased adiposity and more atherogenic lipid profiles that may be related to decreased physical activity (Lussana et al. 2008; Stein et al. 2009). The mechanisms underlying these sorts of effects in humans are poorly understood (Dishman et al. 1985; Sallis and Hovell 1990; Andersen et al. 2009; Koeneman et al. 2011), in part because existing human studies are mostly correlational, cross-sectional (Trost et al. 2002), or of questionable methodological quality (e.g., use of questionnaires to gauge physical activity: Hallal et al. 2006; Stein et al. 2009; Koeneman et al. 2011).

Numerous rodent studies establish that early-life nutritional and other environmental exposures can affect adult body composition, appetite, dietary preferences, reward signaling, etc. (e.g., Frazier et al. 2008; Teegarden et al. 2009; Vucetic et al. 2010, 2012; Ozanne and Siddle 2011). All of these traits are potentially related to levels of physical activity (Garland et al. 2011b). Far fewer rodent studies address early-life effects on adult VE or SPA (Breier et al. 2006; Dai et al. 2012; Sun et al. 2013) (see also Donovan et al. 2013 on sheep).

In rats, for example, supplementing the maternal diet with sunflower oil caused elevated offspring SPA (Brenneman and Rutledge 1982), whereas maternal undernourishment reduced it (Vickers et al. 2003). Adult offspring of diet-induced obese mice were hyperactive in home cages (Samuelsson et al. 2008), but rats were not (Samuelsson et al. 2010). Mouse maternal diets with
partial substitution of protein by fat and carbohydrate can cause cage hyperactivity in adult offspring (Roghair et al. 2009). Mice that experienced undernutrition in utero showed reduced wheel running at night but increased running during the day (Sutton et al. 2010). Maternal protein undernutrition in mice can interact with post-weaning diet and have sex-specific effects on SPA (Whitaker et al. 2012). In mice, overnutrition during the suckling period causes blunted SPA in adult female but not male offspring (Li et al. 2013). Fetal growth restriction followed by postnatal catch-up growth induces a persistent decrease in SPA, also only in female offspring (Baker et al. 2015). Maternal wheel exercise before and during gestation can reduce the negative effects of a maternal high-fat diet on offspring metabolic health (Stanford et al. 2015). Surprisingly, standing exercise to obtain food/water during pregnancy caused male (but not female) offspring to have increased % body fat as adults (Rosa et al. 2013).

Two recent studies from our laboratories clearly demonstrate early-life effects on adult physical activity in mice. Acosta et al. (Acosta et al. 2015) housed male mice from selectively bred High Runner lines and from their non-selected control lines in standard cages or in the same cages with attached wheels for 3 weeks, beginning just after weaning. All mice then experienced two months without wheel access. Early-life wheel access increased adult voluntary exercise, but not cage activity, in both High Runner and control lines of mice. The effect on adult plasma leptin concentrations depended on genetic background, causing a decrease in High Runner mice but an increase in mice from control lines (after adjusting for variation in fat pad mass). In a study of inbred C57BL/6J mice, females were housed with locked or unlocked wheels before and during pregnancy. Females with unlocked wheels ran throughout pregnancy, though daily distance and velocity declined as pregnancy progressed. As adults, offspring of the mothers who exercised during pregnancy were more physically active both on wheels and in their cages, with some
variation between the sexes (Eclarinal et al. 2016).

Overall, existing rodent studies provide clear evidence that factors experienced by mothers prior to conception, by individuals in utero or during the suckling period, and even during later phases of early life can have important effects on adult physical activity or such associated traits as body composition and aerobic capacity. The biological underpinnings of these effects are not yet understood. Nevertheless, they may have important implications for adult life, including various aspects of behavior, energetics, and health.

1.6 Health Impacts of Locomotor Behavior

As outlined in the previous section, a variety of studies now suggest or demonstrate that adult locomotor behavior can be influenced by early-life effects (e.g., Levin 2008; Gardner and Rhodes 2009; Meek et al. 2010; Donovan et al. 2013; Eclarinal et al. 2016). Locomotor behavior involves physical activity, whose primary components are generally termed voluntary exercise (VE) and spontaneous physical activity (SPA) in studies of humans and laboratory rodents (Garland et al. 2011b). The biological determinants of VE and SPA differ, and in sex-specific ways (Dishman et al. 2006; Garland et al. 2011b). Considerable research implicates both VE and SPA as key contributors to energy expenditure in humans, depending on the population, sex, and age considered. In general, higher levels of physical activity are associated with a wide range of positive health outcomes in humans (Haskell et al. 2007). In humans, deficiencies specifically in VE and/or SPA have been associated with numerous socially and economically important diseases and disorders, including obesity, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease, Type 2 diabetes, and certain cancers (Booth and Lees 2006a; Booth et al. 2007). Similar studies have yet to be done for wild populations of other vertebrates. Nonetheless, it is reasonable to propose the
working hypothesis that physical activity will be positively related to aspects of animal health in
nature (see also Halsey 2016).

Excessive exercise can have adverse effects in both humans and other vertebrates,
including loss of body mass, bone and joint degeneration, chronic localized pain, hormonal
dysfunction, sleep disturbances, changes in emotions, an inability to sustain intense exercise, and
an increase in the amount of time required for recovery from exercise (Garland et al. 1987; Bruin
et al. 1994; Meeusen et al. 2007; Scheurink et al. 2010; Matzkin et al. 2015). Whether
overtraining, activity-induced anorexia, amenorrhea or other negative consequences of excessive
physical activity occur in non-human vertebrates living in the wild has, to our knowledge, not
been studied.

1.7 Ecological Relevance, Anthropogenic Disturbances, and Conservation Biology of Early-
life Effects on Locomotor Behavior

Early-life effects fall under the general heading of (developmental) phenotypic plasticity
(Garland and Kelly 2006; Kelly et al. 2012b; Martin et al. 2015). A tradition in functional biology
has been to assume that most if not all cases of phenotypic plasticity (e.g., during acclimation to
changed temperature conditions) are adaptive in the sense of increasing organismal performance in
the altered conditions and hence also positively affecting Darwinian fitness. However, this
hypothesis (often found as an unstated assumption) deserves critical testing, and many such tests
have failed to support it (Wilson and Franklin 2002; Angilletta Jr et al. 2006; Kelly et al. 2012b;
Bateson et al. 2014). This should be born in mind as we consider the ecological relevance of
early-life effects on adult locomotor behavior. In particular, one could argue that most early-life
perturbations would be likely to have adverse effects because organisms have been fine-tuned
through many generations of natural selection in a relatively stable environment. Early-life perturbations that stem from "unusual" or unfamiliar environmental conditions (e.g., smoking cigarettes: Pembrey et al. 2006; Barboza Solís et al. 2015) are not necessarily "anticipated" and so might well have adverse consequences. In general, non-adaptive plasticity is expected to occur with fairly high frequency any time an organism experiences an environmental change that is novel in the context of its evolutionary history (Garland and Kelly 2006; Kelly et al. 2012b; and references therein).

Early-life modifications of locomotor behavior and of many other traits could have major adaptive -- or maladaptive -- significance in natural populations (Meyers and Bull 2002; Ghalambor et al. 2007; Badyaev 2008; Duckworth 2009; Kuijper et al. 2014; Dantzer 2015). For example, mothers experiencing poor nutritional conditions might be at a selective advantage if they could somehow increase the locomotor tendencies of their offspring, such that they might be more likely to disperse from unfavorable habitats (Le Galliard et al. 2004). Although these sorts of scenarios have been widely discussed with respect to manipulation of the sex ratio of offspring (Sheldon and West 2004), relatively little attention has been given to the possibility that offspring locomotor behavior (in terms of ability and/or propensity) might be similarly modified. A few existing studies of lizards (de Fraipont et al. 2000; Meylan et al. 2012; Bestion et al. 2014) and of small mammals (Nunes et al. 1998) in the wild demonstrate that it can, and some of these studies also implicate hormonal mechanisms. These previous studies of wild animals, however, have not resolved effects on different components of physical activity, such as voluntary exercise vs. spontaneous physical activity (Garland et al. 2011b).

At the level of the population, early-life effects might either decrease (canalize) or increase the range of individual variation, and they might increase or decrease the magnitude of trait
correlations. In natural populations experiencing poor nutrition or other types of environmental deterioration that causes physical or psychological stress, the ecological consequences of any resultant effects on activity levels would seem difficult to predict from current knowledge. For example, habitat fragmentation often leads to increased early-life (or parental) interactions with humans, their technology, noise pollution, feral cats, and so forth. Many of these interactions would be stressful in the generally accepted sense of that term, but we have little evidence to suggest whether this might cause individuals to move more or less as adults. If they moved less, then it might facilitate their staying within the safe confines of a small habitat fragment. If they moved more, then it might facilitate their dispersal to a larger piece of suitable habitat, which would have implications for potential range shifts under climate change (see also Feder et al. 2010; Valladares et al. 2014). In general, the ecological consequences and conservation relevance of early-life effects with respect to habitat loss and climate change are poorly understood. For example, two recent reviews of conservation physiology and behavior contain little or no mention of plasticity, early-life effects, epigenetics, locomotor behavior or physical activity (Cooke et al. 2013, 2014).

In addition to ecological consequences of early-life effects on the overall amount of physical activity (e.g., total daily movement distance: Garland 1983; Goszczynski 1986; Chappell et al. 2013), the frequency, duration, intensity, and timing of activity could be crucial. For example, if a normally nocturnal animal were to become active during the day it might lead to dire consequences for predation risk. Similarly, if the seasonal timing of the zugunruhe were altered, then entire migrations might fail.

1.8 Experimental Designs to Explore Early-life Effects on Adult Physical Activity
We conclude with some thoughts regarding the use of laboratory strains of rodents for investigating early-life effects. Laboratory rodents (especially mice and rats) are the most widely used animal models for studies of exercise effects on various aspects of health, physiology, and neurobiology -- and many of the general principles of those studies (Kregel et al. 2006) are directly applicable to early-life studies. Figure 2 depicts various stages at which maternal and/or offspring environment can be experimentally manipulated to elucidate early-life effects on adult phenotypes, as well as possible trans-generational effects.

Although not depicted in Figure 2, cross-fostering designs (e.g., Dohm et al. 2001; Plyusnina et al. 2009; Sadowska et al. 2013; Baker et al. 2015; Zhu et al. 2016) are a powerful way to explore early-life maternal effects (and, in some species, even paternal effects). For example, it is common for investigators to provide a nutritional or other exposure to female rodents before and during pregnancy and lactation, then examine effects on the offspring. In such designs, cross-fostering offspring at birth (i.e., "swapping" pups between exposed and unexposed dams) enables one to distinguish whether exposure during fetal or early postnatal (suckling period) development is essential for effect persistence. Another important design consideration is to study inbred populations of animals, so as to take genetic variation (and potential genetic selection at the gametic level [Waterland 2014]) out of the picture. This is essential to understanding more pure epigenetic (or, at least, not genetically mediated) effects. On the other hand, in a natural outbred population there will always be genetic variation. So, performing such experiments (Figure 2) in both isogenic and outbred populations, to see which gives stronger transgenerational effects, would give insights into how much of these effects are mediated by genetic selection vs. transgenerational effects of developmental programming.

The theoretical construct of ‘metabolic imprinting’ (Waterland and Garza 1999) should be
useful to guide studies into the fundamental biological mechanisms of early-life effects on physical activity. In particular, metabolic imprinting postulates that the persistence of early-life effects is mediated by primary imprints, which can be characterized at the morphological, cellular, and molecular level. By definition, the primary imprint must be present directly after the early life exposure, and persist to adulthood. In this regard, it is noteworthy that most studies of early-life influences on physical activity test offspring at only one age, usually either directly after the exposure or in adulthood. Testing physical activity behavior at multiple ages provides an essential test of effect persistence, and in some cases such will indicate latent effects in which altered physical activity behavior is not apparent until sexual maturation (Li et al. 2013). Such findings may provide insights into a potential role of sex hormones on central regulation of physical activity. Indeed, early-life effects should be explored in both sexes. Recent work in mice suggests that females may be particular vulnerable to developmental programming of SPA (Li et al. 2013); (Baker et al. 2015). Rather than an annoyance, sex-specific effects should be viewed as an opportunity to test mechanistic hypotheses.

Various approaches can be envisioned to test the hypothesis that epigenetic mechanisms play a key role in developmental programming of physical activity. For example, dietary methyl donor supplementation of female mice before and during pregnancy both promotes DNA methylation in their offspring (Waterland and Jirtle 2003), and prevents transgenerational amplification of obesity (Waterland et al. 2008) that is associated with blunted physical activity (Baker et al. 2015). It will be important to determine if methyl donor supplementation both induces epigenetic changes in the central nervous system and normalizes lifelong physical activity in this model. Transgenic mice with cell-type specific perturbation of the DNA methylation machinery may also be useful. For example, cre-lox technology can be used to knock out the
expression of the *de novo* methyltransferase Dnmt3a in specific types of neurons to test the overall principle that epigenetic mechanisms are important in regulation of physical activity behavior.

Most of the health- and obesity-related literature with rodent models uses, as a "control" group, animals housed without any opportunity for voluntary exercise. However, almost all laboratory (e.g., see Figure 3 in Garland et al. 2017) and wild (Dewsbury 1980; Meijer and Robbers 2014) rodents will exhibit a substantial amount of wheel running, if given the opportunity. Thus, rodents housed without wheels or other facilities for physical exercise should be considered as "activity-restricted," though this is rarely acknowledged. Therefore, a strong case can be made that physically active subjects should be the "control" group, rather than rodents housed without access to wheels, climbing towers (Lionikas and Blizard 2008) or other opportunities to exhibit voluntary exercise (Booth and Lees 2006b; Garland et al. 2011b).

Similarly, the majority of such studies focus on the potential *adverse* effects of, for example, high-fat or low-protein diet, with fewer emphasizing the possible positive effects of certain types of diets. We would urge future studies to cast a wider net, potentially including housing conditions without and with opportunities for voluntary exercise, application of forced exercise, diets with expected positive and negative effects, both sexes, and multiple genetic backgrounds (Svenson et al. 2007; Mathes et al. 2011; Meek et al. 2014). This is a tall order, but important if we are to move further towards a comprehensive understanding of early-life effects on adult phenotypes.

From an ecological perspective, future studies could attempt to place such experiments into a more naturalistic context by, for example, experimental releases of animals treated differently during early-life stages, then tracking individuals to determine effects on components of Darwinian fitness, such as survival and reproductive success.
Table 1. Examples of definitions involving epigenetics, ignoring usage prior to Waddington, illustrating how they have changed across the years.

All are direct quotations from the original sources (including quotation marks used there), with one exception as indicated. The various definitions also illustrate continuing variation, especially with respect to the breadth of the definition and also whether some degree of inheritance is necessarily implied. Epigenetic effects are one mechanism of early-life effects, the more general topic covered in this paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waddington</td>
<td>1939</td>
<td>One might say that the set of organizers and organizing relations to which a certain piece of tissue will be subject during development make up its &quot;epigenetic constitution&quot; or &quot;epigenotype.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waddington</td>
<td>1942</td>
<td>the processes involved in the mechanism by which the genes of the genotype bring about phenotypic effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holliday</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>concerned with the strategy of genes in unfolding the genetic program in development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atchley and Hall</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>we use the term 'epigenetic factors' for those factors that arise external to a developing cell and influence the cell’s DNA extrinsically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riggs et al.</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>The study of mitotically and/or meiotically heritable changes in gene function that cannot be explained by changes in DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu and Morris</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>the study of changes in gene function that are mitotically and/or meiotically heritable and that do not entail a change in DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaenisch and Bird</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Many [alterations] in gene expression arise during development and are subsequently retained through mitosis. Stable alterations of this kind are said to be “epigenetic,” because they are heritable in the short term but do not involve mutations of the DNA itself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holliday</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>the study of nuclear inheritance which is not based on changes in DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>the structural adaptation of chromosomal regions so as to register, signal, or perpetuate altered activity states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jablonka</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>the study of the processes that underlie developmental plasticity and canalization and that bring about persistent developmental effects in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jablonka and Raz</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td><strong>Epigenetics</strong> is the study of the processes that underlie developmental plasticity and canalization and that bring about persistent developmental effects in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jablonka and Raz</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td><strong>Epigenetic inheritance</strong> is a component of epigenetics. It occurs when phenotypic variations that do not stem from variations in DNA base sequences are transmitted to subsequent generations of cells or organisms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halfmann and Lindquist</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>encompasses all mechanisms for the inheritance of biological traits that do not involve alterations of the coding sequence of DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jablonka</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>The molecular processes that underlie persistent developmental changes are known as epigenetic mechanisms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tammen et al.</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Epigenetics is the field of study surrounding stable alterations to the DNA and histone proteins that alter gene expression (Jaenisch and Bird, 2003).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laland et al.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Paraphrase: Emerging evolutionary synthesis includes epigenetics among other kinds of extra-genetic inheritance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterland</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Epigenetics is the study of mitotically heritable alterations in gene expression potential that occur without alterations in DNA sequence (Jaenisch and Bird, 2003).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pluess</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>study of changes in organisms caused by modification of gene expression rather than alteration of the DNA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Illustration of genetic, environmental, and epigenetic factors acting at various stages of an organism's life history. (For simplicity, genotype-by-environment interactions are not depicted.) Epigenetic mechanisms are one of several developmental processes that are influenced by environment, especially during so-called critical periods (Waterland and Garza 1999). Mechanisms of epigenetic effects, which alter gene expression without changing DNA sequences, include DNA methylation and histone modification. "Ontogeny" can be used to
describe the entire sequence from fertilization (conception) through development, growth, sexual maturation, aging, and senescence. Additional genes (not shown) may act not only at specific ages or stages but generally across most or all of ontogeny. Similarly, some environmental factors (e.g., pH of a lake in which fish live) may last for the entire lifecycle (subject to seasonal cycles). For many animals, immediate maternal environmental effects stop at weaning (e.g., for mice in a lab setting), but this is not necessarily the case for humans or for other animals in the wild, especially for species in which offspring tend to inherit their parents' home ranges or territories.
Figure 2. Potential experimental design to elucidate early-life effects on adult phenotypes, as well as possible trans-generational effects. Life-history and developmental stages are based on placental mammals, and specific timing of events is based on house mice. The maternal environment and/or the juvenile environment can be modified in many ways, including through changes in diet or the providing of access to exercise wheels (Acosta et al. 2015). During the post-natal period from birth to weaning, such factors as litter size or ambient temperature could be manipulated, thus providing other avenues of environmental manipulation that do not derive solely and directly from the mother. Cross-fostering designs also have much to offer, as discussed in the text.
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